AN INEQUALITY FOR THE COEFFICIENT σ OF THE FREE BOUNDARY $s(t) = 2\sigma\sqrt{t}$ OF THE NEUMANN SOLUTION FOR THE TWO-PHASE STEFAN PROBLEM*

B

DOMINGO ALBERTO TARZIA

Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Argentina

Abstract. We consider a semi-infinite body (e.g. ice), represented by $(0, +\infty)$, with an initial temperature -c < 0 having a heat flux $h(t) = -h_0/\sqrt{t}$ ($h_0 > 0$) in the fixed face x = 0. If $h_0 > ck_1/\sqrt{\pi} \, a_1$ there exists a solution, of Neumann type, for the resulting two-phase Stefan problem. If we connect it with the Neumann problem (on x = 0 the body has a temperature b > 0) we obtain the inequality $\operatorname{erf}(\sigma/a_2) < (k_2 \, ba_1/k_1 \, ca_2)$ for the coefficient σ of the free boundary $s(t) = 2\sigma\sqrt{t}$, where k_i and a_i^2 are respectively the thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity coefficients of the corresponding i phase (i = 1: solid phase, i = 2: liquid phase). If $h_0 < ck_1/\sqrt{\pi} \, a_1$ there is no solution of the initial problem and if $h_0 = ck_1/\sqrt{\pi} \, a_1$ the problem has no physical meaning and corresponds to the case where the latent heat of fusion L tends to infinity.

Notation.

 $0 - (0 + \infty)$

| $\mathbf{M} = (0, + 0)$ | semi-immic body |
|-------------------------|--|
| x | space coordinate variable in Ω |
| t | time |
| s(t) | position of the solid-liquid interface (free boundary) at time $t > 0$ |
| $\theta(x, t)$ | temperature defined for $x > 0$, $t > 0$ |
| $\theta_2(x, t)$ | water temperature defined for $0 < x < s(t)$, $t > 0$ |
| $\theta_1(x, t)$ | ice temperature defined for $x > s(t)$, $t > 0$ |
| c_2 | specific heat of water |
| c_1 | specific heat of ice |
| 1 | latent heat of fusion |
| ρ | mass density |
| k_2 | thermal conductivity of water |
| k_1 | thermal conductivity of ice |
| -c < 0 | initial temperature |
| b > 0 | temperature in the fixed face $x = 0$ |
| h(t) | heat flux in the fixed face $x = 0$ |
| | |

cemi_infinite hody

^{*} Received October 1, 1980.

$$C_2 = \rho c_2$$
, $C_1 = \rho c_1$, $L = \rho l$
 $a_2 = (k_2/C_2)^{1/2}$ $a_1 = (k_1/C_1)^{1/2}$
 a_2^2 thermal diffusivity of water
 a_1^2 thermal diffusivity of ice.

I. The problem. We shall consider the two-phase Stefan problem for a semi-infinite body, represented by $\Omega = (0, +\infty)$ with null change phase temperature (case: water-ice). That is, we shall find the functions s = s(t) > 0 (free boundary), defined for t > 0 with s(0) = 0, and

$$\theta(x, t) = \theta_2(x, t) > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 < x < s(t)$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad x = s(t)$$

$$= \theta_1(x, t) < 0 \quad \text{if} \quad s(t) < x,$$

$$(1)$$

defined for x > 0 and t > 0, such that they satisfy the following conditions:

$$C_1 \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial t} - k_1 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_1}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad s(t) < x, t > 0,$$
 (2)

$$C_2 \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial t} - k_2 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_2}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad 0 < x < s(t), t > 0,$$
 (3)

$$\theta_1(s(t), t) = 0, \qquad \forall t > 0, \tag{4}$$

$$\theta_2(s(t), t) = 0, \qquad \forall t > 0, \tag{5}$$

$$k_1 \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial x} (s(t), t) - k_2 \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial x} (s(t), t) = Ls'(t), \qquad \forall t > 0,$$
 (6)

$$\theta_1(x, 0) = -c < 0, \qquad \forall x > 0, \tag{7}$$

$$k_2 \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial x} (0, t) = h(t), \qquad \forall t > 0.$$
 (8)

The function h(t) represents the heat flux that the material Ω receives in its fixed face x = 0. In the case

$$h(t) = -(h_0/\sqrt{t})$$
 $(h_0 > 0),$ (9)

we prove that there is not always a solution of Neumann type [1, 2, 3, 4, 7] for the problem (2) to (9). Moreover, the explicit solution exists if the constant h_0 satisfies a certain inequality (19). This idea was suggested in [5], where simple exact solutions are given for the steady-state two-phase Stefan problem in which the heat flux satisfies an inequality on a given portion of the body's boundary and the temperature has a constant sign (for example, positive) on the remaining body's boundary.

II. Solution of problem (2)—(9). Following the idea of Neumann for the two-phase Stefan problem [1, 2, 3, 4, 7], we propose:

$$\theta_{1}(x, t) = A_{1} + B_{1} f(x/2a_{1} \sqrt{t}),$$

$$\theta_{2}(x, t) = A_{2} + B_{2} f(x/2a_{2} \sqrt{t}),$$

$$s(t) = 2\omega \sqrt{t}, \qquad \omega > 0,$$
(10)

where

$$f(y) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \exp(-u^{2}) du, \qquad a_{1} = \sqrt{k_{1}/C_{1}}, \qquad a_{2} = \sqrt{k_{2}/C_{2}}. \tag{11}$$

The four conditions (4), (5), (7), (8) give rise to the two systems of equations

$$A_1 + B_1 = -c, A_1 + f(\omega/a_1)B_1 = 0,$$
 (12)

$$B_2 = -\sqrt{\pi} \frac{a_2}{k_2} h_0, \qquad A_2 + f(\omega/a_2) B_2 = 0. \tag{13}$$

493

(14)

Solving (12) and (13) as functions of ω , we obtain:

$$A_1(\omega) = c \frac{f\left(\frac{\omega}{a_1}\right)}{1 - f\left(\frac{\omega}{a_1}\right)}, \qquad B_1(\omega) = \frac{-c}{1 - f\left(\frac{\omega}{a_1}\right)},$$

$$A_2(\omega) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{a_2 h_0}{k_2} f\left(\frac{\omega}{a_2}\right), \qquad B_2(\omega) = -\sqrt{\pi} \frac{a_2 h_0}{k_2}.$$

The condition (6) is satisfied if ω is a solution of the equation

$$F_0(\omega) = \omega, \qquad \omega > 0$$
 (15)

where

$$F_0(\omega) = \frac{h_0}{L} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{a_2^2}\right) - \frac{ck_1}{L\sqrt{\pi} a_1} F_1\left(\frac{\omega}{a_1}\right), \qquad F_1(x) = \frac{\exp(-x^2)}{1 - f(x)}. \tag{16}$$

Taking into account the following properties of the function F_1 :

$$F_1(0^+) = 1, \qquad F_1(+\infty) = +\infty,$$

$$\frac{dF_1}{dx}(x) > 0, \qquad \forall x > 0 \qquad \text{(cf. Appendix)},$$
(17)

we deduce for the function F_0

$$F_0(0^+) = \frac{1}{L} \left(h_0 - \frac{ck_1}{\sqrt{\pi a_1}} \right),$$

$$F_0(+\infty) = -\infty, \qquad \frac{dF_0}{d\omega} (\omega) < 0, \qquad \forall \omega > 0,$$
(18)

and therefore we obtain

LEMMA 1. There exists a solution (10) of the problem (2)—(9) iff $F_0(0^+) > 0$ and iff

$$h_0 > ck_1/\sqrt{\pi} a_1 \tag{19}$$

Proof. Using the properties (18), Eq. (15) has a unique solution iff $F_0(0^+) > 0$.

Remark 1. If $h_0 < ck_1/\sqrt{\pi a_1}$, there is no solution of the problem (2)—(9) of the type (10). The limit case $h_0 = ck_1/\sqrt{\pi a_1}$ has no physical meaning and corresponds to the case $L \to +\infty$ (cf. Sec. IV).

III. Relationship with the Neumann solution. The temperature in the fixed face x = 0 is given by

$$b_0 = \theta_2(0, t) = A_2(\omega) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{a_2 h_0}{k_2} f\left(\frac{\omega}{a_2}\right).$$
 (20)

Since $b_0 > 0$, we can consider the two-phase Stefan problem consisting in finding the functions s(t), $\theta_1(x, t)$, $\theta_2(x, t)$ solutions of (2)—(7) and (8 bis), where:

$$\theta_2(0, t) = b \text{ with } b > 0.$$
 (8 bis)

The solution of problem (2)—(7) and (8 bis), which is known as Neumann solution [1, 2, 3, 4, 7], is given by:

$$\theta_1(x, t) = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 f\left(\frac{x}{2a_1\sqrt{t}}\right),$$

$$\theta_2(x, t) = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 f\left(\frac{x}{2a_2\sqrt{t}}\right),$$

$$s(t) = 2\sigma\sqrt{t},$$
(21)

with

$$\alpha_{1}(\sigma) = c \left\{ f\left(\frac{\sigma}{a_{1}}\right) \middle/ \left[1 - f\left(\frac{\sigma}{a_{1}}\right)\right] \right\},$$

$$\beta_{1}(\sigma) = -c \middle/ \left[1 - f\left(\frac{\sigma}{a_{1}}\right)\right],$$

$$\alpha_{2}(\sigma) = b,$$

$$\beta_{2}(\sigma) = -b \middle/ f\left(\frac{\sigma}{a_{2}}\right).$$
(22)

Here σ is the unique solution of the equation

$$F(\sigma) = \sigma, \qquad \sigma > 0$$
 (23)

with

$$F(\sigma) = \frac{k_1}{La_1\sqrt{\pi}} \beta_1(\sigma) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{a_1^2}\right) - \frac{k_2}{La_2\sqrt{\pi}} \beta_2(\sigma) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{a_2^2}\right)$$
(24)

which satisfies:

$$F(0^+) = +\infty, F(+\infty) = -\infty, \frac{dF}{d\sigma}(\sigma) < 0, \forall \sigma > 0.$$
 (25)

Remark 2. Notice that

$$A_1(x) = \alpha_1(x), \quad \forall x > 0, \quad B_1(x) = \beta_1(x), \quad \forall x > 0.$$
 (26)

Lemma 2. If the condition (19) is valid and we take $b = b_0 > 0$, then

$$\sigma = \omega.$$
 (27)

Proof. Since $\beta_2(\omega) = -\sqrt{\pi (a_2 h_0/k_2)}$, we have:

$$F(\omega) = \frac{-ck_{1\perp}}{La_1\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\exp(-\omega^2/a_1^2)}{1 - f(\omega/a_1)} + \frac{h_0}{L} \exp(-\omega^2/a_2^2)$$

$$= \frac{h_0}{L} \exp(-\omega^2/a_2^2) - \frac{ck_1}{L\sqrt{\pi}a_1} F_1(\omega) = F_0(\omega) = \omega,$$
(28)

and from the uniqueness of σ in Eq. (23), we deduce (27).

Remark 3. With the hypothesis of Lemma 2, we have:

$$A_2(x) = \alpha_2(x), \quad \forall x > 0, \quad B_2(x) = \beta_2(x), \quad \forall x > 0.$$
 (29)

Remark 4. With the hypothesis of Lemma 2, we deduce the following equivalence: Problem (2)—(9) ⇔ Problem (2)—(7) and (8 bis); this implies the inequality:

$$k_2 b/\sqrt{\pi a_2} f(\sigma/a_2) > ck_1/\sqrt{\pi a_1}$$

or

$$f(\sigma/a_2) < k_2 a_1 b/k_1 a_2 c = \frac{b}{c} \sqrt{k_2 C_2/k_1 C_1}.$$
 (30)

Notice that this inequality takes into account the temperatures b, c and the coefficients k_1 , a_1 and k_2 , a_2 corresponding to the solid and liquid phase, but not the latent heat of fusion L.

IV. Limit cases. Using a method analogous to that in [6], we have LEMMA 3.

$$F_0(0^+) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h_0 = \frac{ck_1}{a_1 \sqrt{\pi}} \Leftrightarrow \omega = 0 \Leftrightarrow L = +\infty. \tag{31}$$

Let $\theta_L(x, t)$ be the function defined by (10), (14) and (15) for each L > 0. Then:

Remark 5. If $h_0 > ck_1/a_1 \sqrt{\pi}$, the limit of $\theta_L(x, t)$ as $L \to +\infty$ is given by:

$$\theta_{L=\infty}(x, t) = \lim_{L \to +\infty} \theta_L(x, t) = 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad x = 0,$$

$$= -cf\left(\frac{x}{2a_1\sqrt{t}}\right) < 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad x \neq 0.$$
(32)

Moreover, $\theta_{L=\infty}$ is a continuous function, but its heat flux in x=0 is given by:

$$k_1 \frac{\partial \theta_{L=\infty}}{\partial x} (0, t) = \frac{-ck_1}{a_1 \sqrt{\pi t}} \neq \frac{-h_0}{\sqrt{t}} = h(t).$$
 (33)

This implies that the limit $L \to +\infty$ has no physical meaning, as was remarked in [6].

Remark 6. If $h_0 > ck_1/a_1 \sqrt{\pi}$ the limit of $\theta_L(x, t)$ as $L \to 0$ is given by:

$$\theta_{L=0}(x, t) = \lim_{L \to 0} \theta_L(x, t) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi a_2 h_0}}{k_2} \left(1 - f\left(\frac{x}{2a_2 \sqrt{t}}\right) \right). \tag{34}$$

The function $\theta_{L=0}$ is continuous and its heat flux in x=0 is:

$$k_2(\partial \theta_{L=0}/\partial x)(0, t) = -h_0/\sqrt{t} = h(t).$$
 (35)

Appendix. Let

$$F_1(x) = \frac{\exp(-x^2)}{1 - f(x)}, \qquad f(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x \exp(-u^2) \, du = \operatorname{erf}(x),$$

$$H(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{1 - f(x)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} F_1(x), \qquad G(x) = H(x) - 2x.$$
(1)

We prove the following properties:

LEMMA.

(i)
$$H(0) = 2/\sqrt{\pi}, \quad H(+\infty) = +\infty, \quad H(x) > 0, \quad \forall x > 0,$$

(ii)
$$G(0) = 2/\sqrt{\pi}, \quad G(+\infty) = 0,$$

(iii)
$$H'(x) = G(x) \cdot H(x), \qquad G'(x) = H'(x) - 2,$$
 (2)

(iv)
$$G(x) > 0$$
, $\forall x > 0$,

$$(v) F_1'(x) > 0, \forall x > 0,$$

Proof. (i), (ii) and (iii) are evident by definition or by application of L'Hopital's rule. (iv) we suppose that there exists $x_0 > 0/G(x_0) = 0$. It follows that

$$H(x_0) = 2x_0, H'(x_0) = 0,$$
 (3)

$$G(x_0) = 0, \qquad G'(x_0) = -2 < 0$$
 (4)

The conditions (4) implies that there exists $x_1 > x_0$,

$$G'(x_1) = 0, G(x_1) < 0.$$
 (5)

Therefore

$$H'(x_1) = G(x_1)H(x_1) < 0.$$

Then

$$0 = G'(x_1) = H'(x_1) - 2 < -2,$$

which is a contradiction. (v) is evident using (iii) and (iv).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brillouin, Sur quelques problèmes non résolus de physique mathématique classique: propagation de la fusion, Annales de l'Inst. H. Poincaré, 1, 285-308 (1930/31)
- [2] H. S. Carslaw and J. C. Jaeger, Conduction of heat in solids, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1959)

- [3] J. Crank, The mathematics of diffusion, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1956)
- [4] L. I. Rubinstein, The Stefan problem, Trans. Math. Monographs, 27, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1971)
- [5] D. A. Tarzia, Sobre el caso estacionario del problema de Stefan a dos fases. Mathematicae Notae, Año 28, 73-89 (1980/81)
- [6] D. A. Tarzia, La chaleur latente de fusion tend vers l'infini n'a pas de sense physique pour le problème de Stefan, submitted to Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer
- [7] H. Weber, Die partiellen Differential-gleinchungen der mathematischen Physik, nach Riemanns Vorlesungen, t. II, Braunwschweig (1901), 118-122