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#### Abstract

We consider a heat conduction problem $S$ with mixed boundary conditions in a n-dimensional domain $\Omega$ with regular boundary $\Gamma$ and a family of problems $S_{\alpha}$, where the parameter $\alpha>0$ is the heat transfer coefficient on the portion of the boundary $\Gamma_{1}$. In relation to these state systems, we formulate simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control problems on the internal energy $g$ and the heat flux $q$ on the complementary portion of the boundary $\Gamma_{2}$. We obtain existence and uniqueness of the optimal controls, the first order optimality conditions in terms of the adjoint state and the convergence of the optimal controls, the system and the adjoint states when the heat transfer coefficient $\alpha$ goes to infinity. Finally, we prove estimations between the simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control and the distributed optimal control problem studied in a previous paper of the first author.


1. Introduction. We consider a bounded domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, whose regular boundary $\Gamma$ consists of the union of the two disjoint portions $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ with $\left|\Gamma_{1}\right|>0$ and $\left|\Gamma_{2}\right|>0$. We denote with $\left|\Gamma_{i}\right|=\operatorname{meas}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ (for $i=1,2$ ), the $(n-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure of the portion $\Gamma_{i}$ on $\Gamma$. Let $[0, T]$ a time interval, for a $T>0$. We present the following heat conduction problems $S$ and $S_{\alpha}$ (for each parameter $\alpha>0$ ) respectively, with mixed boundary conditions (we denote by $u(t)$ to the function $u(\cdot, t))$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta u=g \quad \text { in }\left.\Omega \quad u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=b \quad-\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=q \quad u(0)=v_{b}  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta u=g \quad \text { in } \Omega \quad-\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\alpha(u-b) \quad-\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=q \quad u(0)=v_{b} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u$ is the temperature in $\Omega \times(0, T), g$ is the internal energy in $\Omega, b$ is the temperature on $\Gamma_{1}$ for (1) and the temperature of the external neighborhood of $\Gamma_{1}$ for (2), $v_{b}=b$ on $\Gamma_{1}, q$ is the heat flux on $\Gamma_{2}$ and $\alpha>0$ is the heat transfer

[^0]coefficient on $\Gamma_{1}$, which satisfy the hypothesis: $g \in \mathcal{H}=L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), q \in \mathcal{Q}=$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)\right), b \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ and $v_{b} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$.

Let $u$ and $u_{\alpha}$ the unique solutions of the parabolic problems (1) and (2), whose variational formulations are given by [16, 17]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{cases}u-v_{b} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right), \quad u(0)=v_{b} \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{u} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}^{\prime}\right) \\
\text { such that }\langle\dot{u}(t), v\rangle+a(u(t), v)=L(t, v), & \forall v \in V_{0},\end{cases}  \tag{3}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\alpha} \in L^{2}(0, T ; V), \quad u_{\alpha}(0)=v_{b} \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{u}_{\alpha} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \\
\text { such that }\left\langle\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t), v\right\rangle+a_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}(t), v\right)=L_{\alpha}(t, v), \quad \forall v \in V,
\end{array}\right. \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denote the duality between the functional space ( $V$ or $V_{0}$ ) and its dual space ( $V^{\prime}$ or $V_{0}^{\prime}$ ) and

$$
\begin{gathered}
V=H^{1}(\Omega) ; \quad V_{0}=\left\{v \in V:\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0\right\} ; \quad Q=L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) ; \quad H=L^{2}(\Omega) ; \\
(g, h)_{H}=\int_{\Omega} g h d x ; \quad(q, \eta)_{Q}=\int_{\Gamma_{2}} q \eta d \gamma ; \\
a(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x ; \quad a_{\alpha}(u, v)=a(u, v)+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u v d \gamma \\
L(t, v)=(g(t), v)_{H}-(q(t), v)_{Q} ; \quad L_{\alpha}(t, v)=L(t, v)+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{1}} b v d \gamma \\
\exists \lambda_{0}>0 \quad \text { such that } \quad a(v, v) \geq \lambda_{0}\|v\|_{V}^{2}, \quad \forall v \in V_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We consider $\mathcal{H}=L^{2}(0, T ; H)$, with norm $\|.\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and internal product

$$
(g, h)_{\mathcal{H}}=\int_{0}^{T}(g(t), h(t))_{H} d t
$$

and the space $\mathcal{Q}=L^{2}(0, T ; Q)$, with norm $\|.\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and internal product

$$
(q, \eta)_{\mathcal{Q}}=\int_{0}^{T}(q(t), \eta(t))_{Q} d t .
$$

For the sake of simplicity, for a Banach space $X$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we will often use $L^{p}(X)$ instead of $L^{p}(0, T ; X)$.

If we denote by $u_{g q}$ and $u_{\alpha g q}$ the unique solution of the problems (3) and (4) respectively, we formulate the following simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control problems $P$ and $P_{\alpha}$ on the internal energy $g$ and the heat flux $q$, as a vector control variable, respectively [11, 16, 24]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \quad(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}}) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} \quad \text { such that } \quad J(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}})=\min _{g \in \mathcal{H}, q \in \mathcal{Q}} J(g, q) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \quad\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} \quad \text { such that } \quad J_{\alpha}\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right)=\min _{g \in \mathcal{H}, q \in \mathcal{Q}} J_{\alpha}(g, q) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the cost functionals $J$ and $J_{\alpha}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
J(g, q) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{g q}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}  \tag{7}\\
J_{\alpha}(g, q) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha g q}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

with $z_{d} \in \mathcal{H}$ given and $M_{1}, M_{2}$ positive constants.

In [10], the authors studied boundary optimal control problems on the heat flux $q$ in mixed elliptic problems and they proved existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior of the optimal solutions, when the heat transfer coefficient goes to infinity. Similar results were obtained in [11] for simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control problems on the internal energy $g$ and the heat flux $q$ in mixed elliptic problems. In [17], convergence results were proved for non-stationary heat conduction problems in relation to distributed optimal control problems on the internal energy $g$ as a control variable. In [7] and [8], were studied control problems on the source $g$ and the flux $q$ respectively, for parabolic variational inequalities of second kind. Other papers on the subject are [12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 25, 26, 27]. Our interest is the convergence when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, which is related to [4, 22, 23]. Variational inequalities was popular in the $70^{\prime} s$, most of the main techniques for parabolic variational inequalities can be found in [5]. It is well know that the regularity of the mixed problem is problematic when both portions of the boundary $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ have a nonempty intersection, e.g. see the book [15]. Sufficient conditions (on the data) to obtain a $H^{2}$ regularity for an elliptic mixed boundary condition are given in [3], see also [1], among others. Numerical analysis of a parabolic PDE with mixed boundary conditions (Dirichlet and Neumann) is studied in [2], while a parabolic control problem with Robin boundary conditions is considered in [6, 9]. In the present paper, in Section 2 and Section 3, we study simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control for heat conduction problems (1),(5) and (7), and (2), (6) and (8), respectively. We obtain existence and uniqueness results of the optimal controls and we give the first order optimality condition in terms of the adjoint states of the systems. In Section 4, we prove convergence results of the optimal controls, and the system and adjoint states corresponding to the problems (2), (6) and (8), when the heat transfer coefficient $\alpha$ goes to infinity. In Section 5, we study the relation between the solutions of the distributed optimal control problems given in [17] and the first component of the simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control problems (5) and (6). Finally, we give a characterization of the simultaneous optimal controls by using fixed point theory.
2. System $S$ and its corresponding distributed-boundary optimal control problem. Here, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control $(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}})$ for the optimal control problem (5) and we give the optimality condition en terms of the adjoint state $p_{\overline{\bar{g}}}^{\bar{q}}$.

Following [11, 16, 17], we define the application $C: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(V_{0}\right)$ by $C(g, q)=$ $u_{g q}-u_{00}$ where $u_{00}$ is the solution of the problem (3) for $g=0$ and $q=0$. Moreover, we consider $\Pi:(\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}) \times(\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{L}: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi((g, q),(h, \eta))=(C(g, q), C(h, \eta))_{\mathcal{H}}+M_{1}(g, h)_{\mathcal{H}}+M_{2}(q, \eta)_{\mathcal{Q}} \\
\mathcal{L}(g, q)=\left(C(g, q), z_{d}-u_{00}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall(g, q),(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}
\end{gathered}
$$

and we give the following result.
Lemma 2.1. i) $C$ is a linear and continuous application.
ii) $\Pi$ is a bilinear, symmetric, continuous and coercive form.
iii) $\mathcal{L}$ is a linear and continuous application in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$.
iv) $J$ can be write as:

$$
J(g, q)=\frac{1}{2} \Pi((g, q),(g, q))-\mathcal{L}(g, q)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{00}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}, \quad \forall(g, q) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}
$$

v) $J$ is a coercive functional on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, that is, there exists $N>0$ such that $\forall\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right),\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}, \forall t \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-t) J\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)+t J\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)-J\left((1-t)\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)+t\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{t(1-t)}{2}\left[\left\|u_{g_{2} q_{2}}-u_{g_{1} q_{1}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+M_{1}\left\|g_{2}-g_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+M_{2}\left\|q_{2}-q_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}\right] \\
\geq & \frac{N t(1-t)}{2}\left\|\left(g_{2}-g_{1}, q_{2}-q_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

vi) There exists a unique optimal control $(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}}) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$ such that (5) holds.

Proof. (i) Following [17], we obtain that there exist different positive constants $K$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\nabla C(g, q)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq K\|(g, q)\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}} \\
\|C(g, q)\|_{L^{\infty}(H)} \leq K\|(g, q)\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}} \\
{\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\frac{d}{d t} C(g, q)(t)\right\|_{V_{0}^{\prime}}^{2} d t\right]^{1 / 2} \leq K\|(g, q)\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, $C: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow\left\{v \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}\right) \cap L^{\infty}(H): \dot{v} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is a continuous operator.
(ii)- (v) It follows from the definition of $J, \Pi$ and $\mathcal{L}$ and a similar way that [11].
(vi) It follows taking into account $[11,16]$.

We define the adjoint state $p_{g q}$ corresponding to problem (3) for each $(g, q) \in$ $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, as the unique solution of the variational equality

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{g q} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}\right), \quad p_{g q}(T)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{p}_{g q} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{9}\\
\text { such that }-\left\langle\dot{p}_{g q}(t), v\right\rangle+a\left(p_{g q}(t), v\right)=\left(u_{g q}(t)-z_{d}, v\right)_{H}, \forall v \in V_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Lemma 2.2.

i) The adjoint state $p_{g q}$ satisfies the following equality

$$
\left(C(h, \eta), u_{g q}-z_{d}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}=\left(h, p_{g q}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}-\left(\eta, p_{g q}\right)_{\mathcal{Q}} .
$$

ii) $J$ is Gâteaux differentiable and $J^{\prime}$ is given by: $\forall(g, q),(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J^{\prime}(g, q),(h-g, \eta-q)\right\rangle \\
= & \left(u_{h \eta}-u_{g q}, u_{g q}-z_{d}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+M_{1}(g, h-g)_{\mathcal{H}}+M_{2}(q, \eta-q)_{\mathcal{Q}} \\
= & \Pi((g, q),(h-g, \eta-q))-\mathcal{L}(h-g, \eta-q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) The Gâteaux derivative of $J$ can be write as: $\forall(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}(g, q),(h, \eta)\right\rangle=\left(M_{1} g+p_{g q}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\left(M_{2} q-p_{g q}, \eta\right)_{\mathcal{Q}}
$$

iv) The optimality condition for the problem (5) is: $\forall(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}}),(h, \eta)\right\rangle=\left(M_{1} \overline{\bar{g}}+p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\left(M_{2} \overline{\bar{q}}-p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, \eta\right)_{\mathcal{Q}}=0
$$

Proof. (i) Following [17], if we take $v=C(h, \eta)(t) \in V_{0}$ in (9) and we integrate between 0 and $T$, we have

$$
-\left(\dot{p}_{g q}, C(h, \eta)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\int_{0}^{T} a\left(p_{g q}(t), C(h, \eta)(t)\right) d t=\left(u_{g q}-z_{d}, C(h, \eta)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

On the other hand, taking $v=p_{g q}(t)$ in (3), for $g=0, q=0$ and $g=h, q=\eta$, we obtain
$\left(\dot{u}_{h \eta}(t)-\dot{u}_{00}(t), p_{g q}(t)\right)_{H}+a\left(u_{h \eta}(t)-u_{00}(t), p_{g q}(t)\right)=\left(h(t), p_{g q}(t)\right)_{H}-\left(\eta(t), p_{g q}(t)\right)_{Q}$
and integrating between 0 and $T$, we have

$$
\left(\dot{u}_{h \eta}-\dot{u}_{00}, p_{g q}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\int_{0}^{T} a\left(C(h, \eta)(t), p_{g q}(t)\right) d t=\left(h, p_{g q}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}-\left(\eta, p_{g q}\right)_{\mathcal{Q}} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(C(h, \eta), u_{g q}-z_{d}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}=-\int_{0}^{T} \frac{d}{d t}\left(p_{g q}(t), C(h, \eta)(t)\right)_{H} d t+\left(h, p_{g q}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}-\left(\eta, p_{g q}\right)_{\mathcal{Q}}
$$

and by using that $p_{g q}(T)=0$ and $C(h, \eta)(0)=0$, we have (i).
(ii) It follows in similar way that $[11,17]$.
(iii) From (i) and (ii), we obtain

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}(g, q),(h, \eta)\right\rangle=\left(M_{1} g+p_{g q}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\left(M_{2} q-p_{g q}, \eta\right)_{\mathcal{Q}}, \quad \forall(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} .
$$

(iv) From (iii), we have

$$
\left(M_{1} \overline{\bar{g}}+p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\left(M_{2} \overline{\bar{q}}-p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, \eta\right)_{\mathcal{Q}}=0, \quad \forall(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}
$$

3. System $S_{\alpha}$ and its corresponding distributed-boundary optimal control problem. We will prove, for each $\alpha>0$, the existence and uniqueness of the simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control $\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$ for the problem (6) and we will give the optimality condition in terms of the adjoint state $p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}$. For this purpose, following [11, 16, 17], we define $C_{\alpha}: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow L^{2}(V)$ given by $C_{\alpha}(g, q)=u_{\alpha g q}-u_{\alpha 00}$, where $u_{\alpha 00}$ is the solution of the variational problem (4) for $g=0$ and $q=0$, and $\Pi_{\alpha}:(\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}) \times(\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi_{\alpha}((g, q),(h, \eta))=\left(C_{\alpha}(g, q), C_{\alpha}(h, \eta)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+M_{1}(g, h)_{\mathcal{H}}+M_{2}(q, \eta)_{\mathcal{Q}} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}(g, q)=\left(C_{\alpha}(g, q), z_{d}-u_{\alpha 00}\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall(g, q),(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Lemma 3.1.

i) $C_{\alpha}$ is a linear and continuous application.
ii) $\Pi_{\alpha}$ is a bilinear, symmetric, continuous and coercive form.
iii) $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ is linear and continuous in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$.
iv) $J_{\alpha}$ can be write as

$$
J_{\alpha}(g, q)=\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\alpha}((g, q),(g, q))-\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}(g, q)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha 00}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}, \quad \forall(g, q) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}
$$

v) $J_{\alpha}$ is a coercive functional on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, that is, there exists $\bar{N}>0$ such that $\forall\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right),\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}, \forall t \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-t) J_{\alpha}\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)+t J_{\alpha}\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)-J_{\alpha}\left((1-t)\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)+t\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{t(1-t)}{2}\left[\left\|u_{g_{2} q_{2}}-u_{g_{1} q_{1}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+M_{1}\left\|g_{2}-g_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+M_{2}\left\|q_{2}-q_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}\right] \\
\geq & \frac{\bar{N} t(1-t)}{2}\left\|\left(g_{2}-g_{1}, q_{2}-q_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

vi) There exists a unique optimal control $\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right)$ such that (6) holds.

Proof. This results in a similar way that Lemma 2.1 and the proof is omitted.

We define the adjoint state $p_{\alpha g q}$ corresponding to (4) for each $(g, q) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, as the unique solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{\alpha g q} \in L^{2}(V), \quad p_{\alpha g q}(T)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{p}_{\alpha g q} \in L^{2}\left(V^{\prime}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\text { such that }-\left\langle\dot{p}_{\alpha g q}(t), v\right\rangle+a_{\alpha}\left(p_{\alpha g q}(t), v\right)=\left(u_{\alpha g q}(t)-z_{d}, v\right)_{H}, \forall v \in V
\end{array}\right.
$$

and for each $\alpha>0$, we obtain analogous properties to Lemma 2.2, whose proof will be also omitted.

## Lemma 3.2.

i) The adjoint state $p_{\alpha g q}$ satisfies the following equality

$$
\left(C_{\alpha}(h, \eta), u_{\alpha g q}-z_{d}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}=\left(h, p_{\alpha g q}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}-\left(\eta, p_{\alpha g q}\right)_{\mathcal{Q}} .
$$

ii) $J_{\alpha}$ is Gâteaux differentiable and $J_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is given by $\forall(g, q),(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J_{\alpha}^{\prime}(g, q),(h-g, \eta-q)\right\rangle \\
= & \left(u_{\alpha h \eta}-u_{\alpha g q}, u_{\alpha g q}-z_{d}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+M_{1}(g, h-g)_{\mathcal{H}}+M_{2}(q, \eta-q)_{\mathcal{Q}} \\
= & \Pi_{\alpha}((g, q),(h-g, \eta-q))-\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}(h-g, \eta-q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) The Gâteaux derivative of $J_{\alpha}$ can be write as, $\forall(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\left\langle J_{\alpha}^{\prime}(g, q),(h, \eta)\right\rangle=\left(M_{1} g+p_{\alpha g q}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\left(M_{2} q-p_{\alpha g q}, \eta\right)_{\mathcal{Q}} .
$$

iv) The optimality condition for the problem (6) is, $\forall(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\left\langle J_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right),(h, \eta)\right\rangle=\left(M_{1} \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}+p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\left(M_{2} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}-p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}, \eta\right)_{\mathcal{Q}}=0
$$

4. Convergence of simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control problems when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. For fixed $(g, q) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, we can prove estimations for $u_{\alpha g q}$ and $p_{\alpha g q}$ and we obtain the strong convergence to $u_{g q}$ and $p_{g q}$, respectively, when $\alpha$ goes to infinity.

Proposition 1. For fixed $(g, q)$, if $u_{\alpha g q}$ is the unique solution of the variational equality (4), we have

$$
\left\|\dot{u}_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)}+\left\|u_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(H)}+\left\|u_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}+\sqrt{(\alpha-1)}\left\|u_{\alpha g q}-b\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)} \leq K
$$

for all $\alpha>1$, with $K$ depending of $\left\|\dot{u}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)},\left\|\dot{u}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V^{\prime}\right)},\left\|\nabla u_{g q}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}},\left\|u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}$, $\left\|u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(H)},\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}},\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and the coerciveness constant $\lambda_{1}$ of the bilinear form $a_{1}$.
Proof. Taking $v=u_{\alpha g q}(t)-u_{g q}(t) \in V$ in the variational equality (4), taking into account that $\left.u_{g q}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=b$, and by using Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\dot{u}_{\alpha g q}(t)-\dot{u}_{g q}(t), u_{\alpha g q}(t)-u_{g q}(t)\right\rangle+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha g q}(t)-u_{g q}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \\
& +(\alpha-1) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(u_{\alpha g q}(t)-u_{g q}(t)\right)^{2} d \gamma \\
\leq & \frac{2}{\lambda_{1}}\|g(t)\|_{H}^{2}+\frac{2}{\lambda_{1}}\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|^{2}\|q(t)\|_{Q}^{2}+\frac{2}{\lambda_{1}}\left\|\nabla u_{g q}(t)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\frac{2}{\lambda_{1}}\left\|\dot{u}_{g q}(t)\right\|_{V^{\prime}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}$ is the trace operator on $\Gamma$. Next, integrating between 0 and $T$, and using that $u_{\alpha g q}(0)=u_{g q}(0)=v_{b}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha g q}(T)-u_{g q}(T)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha g q}-u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}^{2}+(\alpha-1)\left\|u_{\alpha g q}-b\right\|_{L^{2}\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{2}{\lambda_{1}}\left[\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|^{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{g q}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\left\|\dot{u}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V^{\prime}\right)}^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{2}{\lambda_{1}} A
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|^{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{g q}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\left\|\dot{u}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V^{\prime}\right)}^{2}$. From here, we deduce

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sqrt{(\alpha-1)}\left\|u_{\alpha g q}-b\right\|_{L^{2}\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda_{1}} A}  \tag{12}\\
\left\|u_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_{1}} \sqrt{A}+\left\|u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}  \tag{13}\\
\left\|u_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(H)} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}} \sqrt{A}+\left\|u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(H)} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, taking $v \in V_{0}$ in the variational equality (4) and subtracting with variational equality (3), we have

$$
\left(\dot{u}_{\alpha g q}(t)-\dot{u}_{g q}(t), v\right)_{H}+a\left(u_{\alpha g q}(t)-u_{g q}(t), v\right)=0, \quad \forall v \in V_{0}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\dot{u}_{\alpha g q}(t)-\dot{u}_{g q}(t), v\right)_{H} \leq\left\|u_{g q}(t)-u_{\alpha g q}(t)\right\|_{V}\|v\|_{V_{0}} \quad \forall v \in V_{0} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

taking supremum for $v \in V_{0}$ with $\|v\|_{V_{0}} \leq 1$ and integrating between 0 and $T$, we obtain $\left\|\dot{u}_{\alpha g q}-\dot{u}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)} \leq\left\|u_{g q}-u_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{u}_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)} \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_{1}} \sqrt{A}+2\left\|u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}+\left\|\dot{u}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from (12), (13), (14) and (16), the thesis holds.
Proposition 2. For fixed $(g, q)$, if $p_{\alpha g q}$ is the unique solution of the problem (10), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{p}_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)}+\left\|p_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(H)}+\left\|p_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}+\sqrt{(\alpha-1)}\left\|p_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)} \leq K \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha>1$, where $K$ is depending of $\left\|\dot{p}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)},\left\|\dot{p}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V^{\prime}\right)},\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}},\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$, $\left\|\nabla p_{g q}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}},\left\|p_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)},\left\|p_{g q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(H)},\left\|z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}},\left\|\dot{u}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V^{\prime}\right)},\left\|\nabla u_{g q}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}},\left\|u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}$, $\left\|u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(H)}$ and the coerciveness constant $\lambda_{1}$.

Proof. From the variational equality (10) and with an analogous reasoning to Proposition 1, we obtain the estimation (17) as in [17].

Theorem 4.1. For fixed $(g, q) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain:
i) if $u_{g q}$ and $u_{\alpha g q}$ are the unique solutions to the variational problems (3) and (4) respectively, then $u_{\alpha g q} \rightarrow u_{g q}$ strongly in $L^{2}(V) \cap L^{\infty}(H)$ and $\dot{u}_{\alpha g q} \rightarrow \dot{u}_{g q}$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.
ii) if $p_{g q}$ and $p_{\alpha g q}$ are the unique solutions to the variational problems (9) and (10) respectively, then $p_{\alpha g q} \rightarrow p_{g q}$ strongly in $L^{2}(V) \cap L^{\infty}(H)$ and $\dot{p}_{\alpha g q} \rightarrow \dot{p}_{g q}$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. (i) For fixed $(g, q) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, we consider a sequence $\left\{u_{\alpha_{n} g q}\right\}$ in $L^{2}(V) \cap L^{\infty}(H)$ and by estimation (11), we have that $\left\|u_{\alpha_{n} g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq K$ and $\left\|\dot{u}_{\alpha_{n} g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)} \leq K$, therefore, there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{\alpha_{n} g q}\right\}$ which is weakly convergent to $w_{g q} \in$ $L^{2}(V)$ and weakly* in $L^{\infty}(H)$ and there exists a subsequence $\left\{\dot{u}_{\alpha_{n} g q}\right\}$ which is weakly convergent to $\dot{w}_{g q} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Now, from the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm, we have that $w_{g q}=b$ on $\Gamma_{1}$ and therefore $w_{g q}-v_{b} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}\right)$.
Next, taking into account that $w_{g q}$ satisfies the following variational problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{g q}-v_{b} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}\right), \quad w_{g q}(0)=v_{b} \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{w}_{g q} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right) \\
\text { such that }\left\langle\dot{w}_{g q}(t), v\right\rangle+a\left(w_{g q}(t), v\right)=L(t, v), \quad \forall v \in V_{0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

and by uniqueness of the solution of the problem (3), we have $w_{g q}=u_{g q}$. Therefore, when $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ (called $\left.\alpha \rightarrow \infty\right)$, we get

$$
u_{\alpha g q} \rightharpoonup u_{g q} \text { in } L^{2}(V), \quad u_{\alpha g q} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u_{g q} \text { in } L^{\infty}(H) \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{u}_{\alpha g q} \rightharpoonup \dot{u}_{g q} \text { in } L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha g q}(T)-u_{g q}(T)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\lambda_{1}\left\|u_{\alpha g q}-u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}^{2}+(\alpha-1)\left\|u_{\alpha g q}-u_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{T}\left\{L\left(t, u_{\alpha g q}(t)-u_{g q}(t)\right)-a\left(u_{g q}(t), u_{\alpha g q}(t)-u_{g q}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left\langle\dot{u}_{g q}(t), u_{\alpha g q}(t)-u_{g q}(t)\right\rangle\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and by using the weak convergence of $u_{\alpha g q}$ to $u_{g q}$, we prove the strong convergence in $L^{2}(V)$ and the strong convergence in $L^{2}\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$. Now, from the variational equalities (3) and (4), we have as in (15), that

$$
\left(\dot{u}_{\alpha g q}(t)-\dot{u}_{g q}(t), v\right)_{H} \leq\left\|u_{g q}(t)-u_{\alpha g q}(t)\right\|_{V}\|v\|_{V_{0}}, \quad \forall v \in V_{0}
$$

then

$$
\left\|\dot{u}_{\alpha g q}-\dot{u}_{g q}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)}^{2} \leq\left\|u_{g q}-u_{\alpha g q}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}^{2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { when } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty
$$

and we have that $\dot{u}_{\alpha g q}$ is strongly convergent to $\dot{u}_{g q}$ in $L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.
(ii) For fixed $(g, q) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$ we prove that there exists a sequence in $L^{2}(V) \cap$ $L^{\infty}(H)$ and $\eta_{g q} \in L^{2}(V) \cap L^{\infty}(H)$ such that $p_{\alpha_{n} g q} \rightharpoonup \eta_{g q}$ weakly in $L^{2}(V)$ and weakly* in $L^{\infty}(H)$ and $\dot{p}_{\alpha_{n} g q} \rightharpoonup \dot{\eta}_{g q}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Next, we obtain that $\eta_{g q}$ verifies the variational problem (9), and by uniqueness of the solution we have that $\eta_{g q}=p_{g q}$. Here, when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$
p_{\alpha g q} \rightharpoonup p_{g q} \quad \text { in } L^{2}(V), \quad p_{\alpha g q} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} p_{g q} \quad \text { in } L^{\infty}(H) \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{p}_{\alpha g q} \rightharpoonup \dot{p}_{g q} \text { in } L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Finally, the strong convergence of $p_{\alpha g q}$ to $p_{g q}$ in $L^{2}(V) \cap L^{\infty}(H)$ and of $\dot{p}_{\alpha g q}$ to $\dot{p}_{g q}$ in $L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained in a similar way that (i).

Now, in the next theorem we prove the strong convergence of the optimal controls, the system and the adjoint states of the optimal control problems (6) to the optimal control, the system and the adjoint states of the optimal control problem (5), when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 4.2. a) If $u_{\overline{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}}$ and $u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}$ are the unique system states corresponding to the simultaneous optimal control problems (5) and (6) respectively, then we get
(i) $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}=0$, (ii) $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\dot{u}_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-\dot{u}_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)}=0$.
b) If $p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}$ and $p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}$ are the unique adjoint states corresponding to the simultaneous optimal control problems (5) and (6) respectively, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) } \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}=0, \text { (ii) } \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\dot{p}_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-\dot{p}_{\overline{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) If $(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}})$ and $\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right)$ are the unique solutions from the simultaneous distributedboundary optimal control problems (5) and (6) respectively, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right)-(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}})\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will do the proof in three steps.
Step 1. From the estimation (11) for $u_{\alpha g q}$ with $g=q=0$, there exists a constant $K_{1}>0$ such that $\left\|u_{\alpha 00}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq\left\|u_{\alpha 00}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq K_{1}, \forall \alpha>1$. From the definition of $J_{\alpha}$ and since $J_{\alpha}\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right) \leq J_{\alpha}(0,0)$, we have:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\left\|\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\left\|\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha 00}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}
$$

Therefore, there exist positive constants $K_{2}, K_{3}$ and $K_{4}$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq K_{2}, \quad\left\|\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq K_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq K_{4} .
$$

Now, by estimation (11) in Proposition 1, we obtain that, for all $\alpha>1$ there exists $K_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}+\left\|\dot{u}_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)}+\sqrt{(\alpha-1)}\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-b\right\|_{L^{2}\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)} \leq K_{5} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by estimation (17) in Proposition 2, there exists a positive constant $K_{6}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}+\left\|\dot{p}_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)}+\sqrt{(\alpha-1)}\left\|p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)} \leq K_{6} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the previous estimations, we have that there exist $f \in \mathcal{H}, \delta \in \mathcal{Q}, \mu \in L^{2}(V)$, $\dot{\mu} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right), \rho \in L^{2}(V)$ and $\dot{\rho} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \rightharpoonup f \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \rightharpoonup \delta \in \mathcal{Q} \\
u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}} \rightharpoonup \mu \in L^{2}(V), \quad \dot{u}_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}} \rightharpoonup \dot{\mu} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right), \\
p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}} \rightharpoonup \rho \in L^{2}(V), \quad \dot{p}_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}} \rightharpoonup \dot{\rho} \in L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Step 2. Taking into account the weak convergence of $u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}$ to $\mu$ in $L^{2}(V)$ and the estimation (21) we obtain in similar way to Theorem 4.1 (i), that $\mu=u_{f \delta}$. Moreover, for the adjoint state, we have that $p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}}^{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}$ is weakly convergent to $\rho$ in $L^{2}(V)$ and from estimation (22) we obtain in similar way that Theorem 4.1 (ii), that $\rho=p_{f \delta}$. Therefore, we have

$$
u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}} \rightharpoonup u_{f \delta} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(V) \quad \text { and } \quad p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}} \rightharpoonup p_{f \delta} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(V)
$$

Now, the optimality condition for the problem (6) is given by

$$
\left(M_{1} \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}+p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\left(M_{2} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}-p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}, \eta\right)_{\mathcal{Q}}=0 \quad \forall(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}
$$

and taking into account that

$$
p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}} \rightharpoonup p_{f \delta} \text { in } L^{2}(V), \quad \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \rightharpoonup f \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \rightharpoonup \delta \in \mathcal{Q}
$$

we obtain

$$
\left(M_{1} f+p_{f \delta}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+\left(M_{2} \delta-p_{f \delta}, \eta\right)_{\mathcal{Q}}=0 \quad \forall(h, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}
$$

and by uniqueness of the optimal control we deduce that $f=\overline{\bar{g}}$ and $\delta=\overline{\bar{q}}$. Therefore $u_{f \delta}=u_{\overline{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, p_{f \delta}=p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, \dot{u}_{f \delta}=\dot{u}_{\overline{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}}$ and $\dot{p}_{f \delta}=\dot{u}_{\overline{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}}$.

Step 3. We have, for all $(g, q) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\|\overline{\bar{g}}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|\overline{\bar{q}}\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} \\
& \leq \liminf _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\left\|\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\left\|\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\left\|\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\left\|\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} J_{\alpha}(g, q) \\
& =\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha g q}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{g q}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}=J(g, q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking infimum on $(g, q)$, all the above inequalities become equalities and therefore we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\left\|\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\left\|\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\overline{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\|\overline{\bar{g}}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|\overline{\bar{q}}\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(\sqrt{M_{2}} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}, \sqrt{M_{1}} \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-z_{d}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}}^{2} \\
= & \left\|\left(\sqrt{M_{2}} \overline{\bar{q}}, \sqrt{M_{1}} \overline{\bar{g}}, u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}-z_{d}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The previous equality, the convergence $\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \rightharpoonup \overline{\bar{q}}$ in $\mathcal{Q}, \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \rightharpoonup \overline{\bar{g}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and $u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}} \rightharpoonup u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}$ in $L^{2}(V)$, imply that $\left(\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow\left(\overline{\bar{q}}, \overline{\bar{g}}, u_{\overline{\bar{g}}} \overline{\bar{q}}\right)$, when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, then (20) holds. Finally, if we take $v=u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}(t)-u_{\overline{\bar{g}}}^{\overline{\bar{q}}}(t) \in V$ in (4) for $u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\dot{u}_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}(t)-\dot{u}_{\overline{\bar{g}}}\left(\overline{\bar{q}}(t), u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}(t)-u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)\right\rangle+\lambda_{1}\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}(t)-u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2}\right. \\
& +(\alpha-1) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}(t)-u_{\overline{\bar{g}}}^{\overline{\bar{q}}}(t)\right)^{2} d \gamma \\
\leq & \left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}(t)-\dot{u}_{\overline{\bar{q}}}^{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}(t), u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}(t)-u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)\right)_{H}-\left(\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}(t), u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}(t)-u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)\right)_{Q} \\
& -a\left(u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t), u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}(t)-u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we call $z_{\alpha}=u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-u_{\overline{\bar{g}}} \overline{\bar{q}}$, from the previous equality, we obtain

$$
\lambda_{1}\left\|z_{\alpha}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \leq\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}(t)-\dot{u}_{\overline{\bar{g}}}^{\overline{\bar{q}}}(t), z_{\alpha}(t)\right)_{H}-\left(\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}(t), z_{\alpha}(t)\right)_{Q}-a\left(u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t), z_{\alpha}(t)\right)
$$

and integrating between 0 and $T$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}\left\|z_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}^{2} & =\lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|z_{\alpha}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}(t)-\dot{u}_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t), z_{\alpha}(t)\right)_{H}-\left(\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}(t), z_{\alpha}(t)\right)_{Q}-a\left(u_{\overline{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t), z_{\alpha}(t)\right)\right] d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $z_{\alpha} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $L^{2}(V), \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \overline{\bar{q}}$ strongly in $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \overline{\bar{g}}$ strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ we obtain, when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}(t)-\dot{u}_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t), z_{\alpha}(t)\right)_{H}-\left(\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}(t), z_{\alpha}(t)\right)_{Q}-a\left(u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t), z_{\alpha}(t)\right)\right] d t \rightarrow 0
$$

then (18 i) holds.
From the variational equalities (3) and (4), we have

$$
\left\langle\dot{z}_{\alpha}(t), v\right\rangle+a\left(z_{\alpha}(t), v\right)=\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}(t)-\overline{\bar{g}}(t), v\right)_{H}+\left(\overline{\bar{q}}(t)-\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}(t), v\right)_{Q}, \quad \forall v \in V_{0}
$$

and therefore there exists a positive constant $K_{7}$ such that

$$
\left\|\dot{z}_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)}^{2} \leq K_{7}\left[\left\|z_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}^{2}+\left\|\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}-\overline{\bar{g}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\left\|\overline{\bar{q}}-\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}\right]
$$

Since $\overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \overline{\bar{q}}$ strongly in $\mathcal{Q}, \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \overline{\bar{g}}$ strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ and $u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}} \rightarrow u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}$ strongly in $L^{2}(V)$ when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we can say that $\dot{z}_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, that is $\dot{u}_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \rightarrow$ $\dot{u}_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, then (18 ii) holds.

In similar way, we prove that $\left(p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}, \dot{p}_{\alpha \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}\right) \rightarrow\left(p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, \dot{p}_{\overline{\bar{g}}} \overline{\bar{q}}\right)$ strongly in $L^{2}(V) \times$ $L^{2}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.
5. Estimations between the optimal controls. In this Section, we study the relation between the solutions of the distributed optimal control problems given in [17] with the solutions of the simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control problems (5) and (6). Moreover, we give a characterization of the solutions of these problems by using the fixed point theory.
5.1. Estimations with respect to the problem $P$. We consider the distributed optimal control problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \quad \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text { such that } \quad J_{1}(\bar{g})=\min _{g \in \mathcal{H}} J_{1}(g) \quad \text { for fixed } \quad q \in \mathcal{Q} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{1}$ is the cost functional defined in [17] plus the constant $\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}$, that is, $J_{1}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$is given by

$$
J_{1}(g)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{g}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} \quad(\text { fixed } q \in \mathcal{Q})
$$

where $u_{g}$ is the unique solution of the problem (3) for fixed $q$.
Remark 1. The functional $J$ defined in (5) and the functional $J_{1}$ previously given, satisfy the following elemental estimation

$$
J(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}}) \leq J_{1}(\bar{g}), \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{Q}
$$

In the following theorem we obtain estimations between the solution of the distributed optimal control problem (23) and the first component of the solution of the simultaneous distributed-boundary optimal control problem (5).

Theorem 5.1. If $(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}}) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$ is the unique solution of the distributed-boundary optimal control problem (5), $\bar{g}$ is the unique solution of the optimal control problem (23), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\bar{g}-\overline{\bar{g}}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{0} M_{1}}\left\|u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}-u_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the optimality condition for $\bar{g}$, with $q=\overline{\bar{q}}$, we have $\left(M_{1} \bar{g}+p_{\bar{g} \bar{q}}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}=$ $0, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$, and taking $h=\overline{\bar{g}}-\bar{g}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{1} \bar{g}+p_{\bar{g} \bar{q}}, \overline{\bar{g}}-\bar{g}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if we take $\eta=0 \in \mathcal{Q}$ in the optimality condition for $(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}})$ we have $\left(M_{1} \overline{\bar{g}}+p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, h\right)_{\mathcal{H}}=0, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$, next, taking $h=\bar{g}-\overline{\bar{g}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{1} \overline{\bar{g}}+p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, \bar{g}-\overline{\bar{g}}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}=0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adding (25) and (26), we have $\left(M_{1}(\bar{g}-\overline{\bar{g}})+p_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}-p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, \overline{\bar{g}}-\bar{g}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}=0$. Here, we deduce that

$$
\|\overline{\bar{g}}-\bar{g}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{M_{1}}\left\|p_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}-p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}
$$

Next, by using the variational equality (9) for $g=\overline{\bar{g}}$ and $q=\overline{\bar{q}}$, and for $g=\bar{g}$ and $q=\overline{\bar{q}}$, respectively, we obtain

$$
-\frac{d}{d t}\left\|p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)-p_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\lambda_{0}\left\|p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)-p_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left\|u_{\overline{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)-u_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}(t)\right\|_{H}^{2}
$$

By integrating between 0 and $T$, and using that $p_{\overline{\bar{q}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(T)=p_{\bar{g} \bar{q}}(T)=0$, we deduce

$$
\left\|p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}(0)-p_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}(0)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\lambda_{0}\left\|p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}-p_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left\|u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}-u_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}
$$

then

$$
\left\|p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}-p_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left\|u_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}-u_{\bar{g} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

and therefore (24) holds.
Now, we will give a characterization of the solution of the simultaneous optimal control problem (5) by using the fixed point theory. For this, we introduce the operator $W: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, defined by

$$
W(g, q)=\left(-\frac{1}{M_{1}} p_{g q}, \frac{1}{M_{2}} p_{g q}\right) .
$$

Theorem 5.2. There exists a positive constant $C_{0}=C_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}, \gamma_{0}, M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ such that, $\forall\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\left\|W\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)-W\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}} \leq C_{0}\left\|\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)-\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}}
$$

and $W$ is a contraction if and only if the data satisfies the following condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}=\frac{2}{\lambda_{0}^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{M_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|^{2}}{M_{2}^{2}}}\left(1+\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|\right)<1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, we prove the following estimates, $\forall\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u_{g_{1} q_{1}}-u_{g_{2} q_{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda_{0}}\left(\left\|g_{2}-g_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}+\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|\left\|q_{2}-q_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}}\right)  \tag{28}\\
\left\|p_{g_{1} q_{1}}-p_{g_{2} q_{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left\|u_{g_{1} q_{1}}-u_{g_{2} q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

In fact, for the estimation (28), we consider the variational equation (3) for $g=g_{1}$ and $q=q_{1}$, and for $g=g_{2}$ and $q=q_{2}$, respectively. Next, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{g_{1} q_{1}}(t)-u_{g_{2} q_{2}}(t)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\lambda_{0}\left\|u_{g_{1} q_{1}}(t)-u_{g_{2} q_{2}}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|u_{g_{1} q_{1}}(t)-u_{g_{2} q_{2}}(t)\right\|_{V}\left(\left\|g_{1}(t)-g_{2}(t)\right\|_{H}+\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|\left\|q_{1}(t)-q_{2}(t)\right\|_{Q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by Young's inequality and integrating between 0 and $T$, we deduce (28). If we consider the variational equality (9) for $g=g_{1}$ and $q=q_{1}$, and for $g=g_{2}$ and $q=q_{2}$, respectively, we obtain (29).
Finally, using the estimations (28) and (29), we obtain
$\left\|W\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)-W\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}} \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_{0}^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{M_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|^{2}}{M_{2}^{2}}}\left(1+\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|\right)\left\|\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)-\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}}$,
and the operator $W$ is a contraction if and only if (27) holds.
Corollary 1. If the data satisfy that $C_{0}<1$, then the unique solution $(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}}) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$ of the optimal control problem (5) can be characterized as the unique fixed point of the operator $W$, that is

$$
W(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}})=\left(-\frac{1}{M_{1}} p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}, \frac{1}{M_{2}} p_{\overline{\bar{g}} \overline{\bar{q}}}\right)=(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}}) .
$$

Proof. When $C_{0}<1$, the operator $W$ is a contraction defined on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$. Next, there exists a unique $\left(g^{*}, q^{*}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$ such that

$$
W\left(g^{*}, q^{*}\right)=\left(-\frac{1}{M_{1}} p_{g^{*} q^{*}}, \frac{1}{M_{2}} p_{g^{*} q^{*}}\right)=\left(g^{*}, q^{*}\right)
$$

o equivalently

$$
\left(M_{1} g^{*}+p_{g^{*} q^{*}}, M_{2} q^{*}-p_{g^{*} q^{*}}\right)=(0,0)
$$

Here, $\left(g^{*}, q^{*}\right)$ verifies the optimality condition for the problem (5), therefore the unique fixed point of $W$ is the solution $(\overline{\bar{g}}, \overline{\bar{q}}) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$ of this simultaneous optimal control problem.
5.2. Estimations with respect to the problem $P_{\alpha}$. For each $\alpha>0$, we consider the following optimal control problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \quad \bar{g}_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text { such that } \quad J_{1 \alpha}\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha}\right)=\min _{g \in \mathcal{H}} J_{1 \alpha}(g), \quad \text { for fixed } \quad q \in \mathcal{Q} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{1 \alpha}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$is given by

$$
J_{1 \alpha}(g)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha g}-z_{d}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{2}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} \quad(\text { fixed } \quad q \in \mathcal{Q})
$$

that is, $J_{1 \alpha}$ is the cost functional given in [17] plus the constant $\frac{M_{2}}{2}\|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}$ and $u_{\alpha g}$ is the unique solution of the problem (4) for fixed $q$.

Remark 2. For each $\alpha>0$, the functional $J_{\alpha}$ defined in (6) and the functional $J_{1 \alpha}$ previously given satisfy the following estimate

$$
J_{\alpha}\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right) \leq J_{1 \alpha}\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha}\right), \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{Q}
$$

An estimation between the solution of the distributed optimal control problem (30) with the first component of the solution of the simultaneous distributedboundary optimal control problem (6) is given in the following theorem whose prove is omitted.

Theorem 5.3. If $\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$ is the unique solution of the simultaneous optimal control problem (6), $\bar{g}_{\alpha}$ is the unique solution of the optimal control problem (30), then

$$
\left\|\bar{g}_{\alpha}-\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1} \min \{1, \alpha\} M_{1}}\left\|u_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}-u_{\alpha \bar{g}_{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

In similar way to Theorem 5.2, we give a characterization of the solution of the problem (6) proving that the operator $W_{\alpha}$, which is defined after, is a contraction. This result is presented in the following theorem, whose prove is omitted.

Let the operator $W_{\alpha}: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, for each $\alpha>0$, defined by the expression

$$
W_{\alpha}(g, q)=\left(-\frac{1}{M_{1}} p_{\alpha g q}, \frac{1}{M_{2}} p_{\alpha g q}\right)
$$

Theorem 5.4. $W_{\alpha}$ is a Lipschitz operator on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$, that is, there exists a positive constant $C_{0 \alpha}=C_{0 \alpha}\left(\lambda_{1} \min \{1, \alpha\}, \gamma_{0}, M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$, such that $\forall\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\left\|W_{\alpha}\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)-W_{\alpha}\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}} \leq C_{0 \alpha}\left\|\left(g_{2}, q_{2}\right)-\left(g_{1}, q_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}}
$$

and $W_{\alpha}$ is a contraction if and only if the data satisfy the following inequality

$$
C_{0 \alpha}=\frac{2}{\lambda_{1}^{2}(\min \{1, \alpha\})^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{M_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|^{2}}{M_{2}^{2}}}\left(1+\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|\right)<1
$$

Corollary 2. If the data satisfy the condition $C_{0 \alpha}<1$, then the unique solution $\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$ of the problem (6) can be obtained as the unique fixed point of the operator $W_{\alpha}$, that is

$$
W_{\alpha}\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right)=\left(-\frac{1}{M_{1}} p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}}^{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}, \frac{1}{M_{2}} p_{\alpha \overline{\bar{g}}}^{\alpha} \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right)=\left(\overline{\bar{g}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\bar{q}}_{\alpha}\right)
$$
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