

Conferencias, seminarios y trabajos de Matemática

ISSN:1515-4904



VI Seminario sobre Problemas de Frontera Libre y sus Aplicaciones.

Segunda Parte

Departamento de Matemática, Rosario, Argentina 2001





# MAT

## SERIE A : CONFERENCIAS, SEMINARIOS Y TRABAJOS DE MATEMÁTICA

# **No. 4**

# VI SEMINARIO SOBRE PROBLEMAS DE FRONTERA LIBRE Y SUS APLICACIONES Segunda Parte

Domingo A. Tarzia (Ed.)

## INDICE

• **Omar Gil**, "El problema de Hele-Shaw como un problema límite para la ecuación de los medios porosos", 1-10.

• Juan C. Reginato – Domingo A. Tarzia, "Estimations of nutrient uptakes by roots of crops through a moving boundary model", 11-16.

• Oscar D. Quiroga – Luis T. Villa – Fernando Suarez, "Problemas de frontera libre en procesos de transferencia de materia y energía con reacción química", 17-22.

• Edgardo A. Spiazzi – Rodolfo H. Mascheroni, "Modelo de deshidratación osmótica de alimentos vegetales", 23-32.

• Eduardo A. Santillan Marcus – Domingo A. Tarzia, "Exact solutions for phase change processes in humid porous half spaces", 33-38.

**Rosario, Septiembre 2001** 

## ESTIMATIONS OF NUTRIENT UPTAKES BY ROOTS OF CROPS THROUGH A MOVING BOUNDARY MODEL

### Juan C. REGINATO<sup>1</sup> and Domingo A. TARZIA<sup>2</sup>

- Departamento de Química-Física, Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Químicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Ruta 36 Km 601, (5800) Río Cuarto, Argentina. Corresponding author. E-mail:jreginato@exa.unrc.edu.ar
- 2 Departamento de Matemática-CONICET, FCE Universidad Austral, Paraguay 1950, S2000FZF Rosario, Argentina. E-mail: <u>Domingo.Tarzia@fce.austral.edu.ar</u>

Abstract. Single nutrient uptake by a growing root system is often estimated by the Barber-Cushman model. The model solves the coupled equations of transport in the soil and absorption of nutrient by roots in fixed domains. This study was conducted to determine whether a moving boundary model, accounting for increasing root competition, could improve predictions of nutrient uptake. Our model includes assumptions of the Barber-Cushman model and the moving boundary approximation. The model predicts nutrient uptake by coupling nutrient flux to roots and nutrient absorption on a variable domain in time. The model output was compared with measured uptake of Mg, K, P and S by various crops and soils by using experimental data obtained from the literature. Predicted Mg, K, and P uptake by pine seedling was close to that observed for K and P although for Mg the predicted uptake show deviations similar to those of the Barber-Cushman model. Predicted S uptake by wheat in different soils was better at least in ten out of eighteen measured cases. The moving boundary model appears to provide a better description of coupling between transport, absorption of nutrient and root growth than the Barber-Cushman model, and it improve the prediction for nutrient uptake in some tests.

Key words: Nutrient uptake, moving boundary model, Barber-Cushman model, growing root, heat balance integral method

**Resumen**. La toma de nutrientes monoespecie es a menudo estimada por el modelo de Barber-Cushman. Este modelo resuelve el conjunto de ecuaciones acopladas de transporte y absorción de iones por raíces sobre dominios fijos. Se realizó un estudio para determinar si un modelo de frontera móvil, el cual tiene en cuenta competencia de raíces, podría mejorar las predicciones de la toma de nutriente. Nuestro modelo incluye las suposiciones del modelo de Barber-Cushman y agrega la aproximación de frontera móvil. El modelo predice la toma de nutriente a través del acoplamiento del flujo de nutrientes hacia la raíz y la absorción de los mismos sobre un dominio variable cuya variación es conocida en el tiempo. La salida del modelo fue comparada con tomas medidas de Mg, K, P y S por diversos cultivos y suelos utilizando valores experimentales obtenidos de la literatura. Tomas predichas de Mg, K y P por plantines de pino fueron muy cercanas a la medidas para K y P aunque para Mg la toma predicha presentó desviaciones similares a la toma predicha por el modelo de Barber-Cushman. Las tomas predichas de S por trigo en diferentes suelos fueron mejores al menos en diez de dieciocho casos testeados. Así, el modelo de frontera móvil parece proveer una mejor descripción del acoplamiento entre transporte, absorción de nutriente y crecimiento de raíces que el modelo de Barber-Cushman y mejora las predicciones de la toma de nutriente en algunos tests.

Palabras claves: Toma de nutrientes, modelo de frontera móvil, modelo de Barber-Cushman, crecimiento de raíces, método del balance integral calórico.

AMS Subject Classification: 35R35, 35C15, 35K20, 80A20

#### Introduction

Nutrient uptake has been evaluated through diffusive and mass flow models which are based on numerical approximation in fixed domains of differential transport equations in soils coupled with absorption kinetics by roots (Cushman, 1979; Barber, 1995). These models estimate the nutrient concentration at the root-soil interface and the resulting nutrient uptake. Other models assume the root surface behaves like a zero-sink, therefore nutrient uptake is determined by the rate of nutrient supply to the root surface by mass flow and diffusion. In these models, the radius of finite cylindrical soil volume assigned to each root declines with increasing root density (Hoffland, 1990). In other models, analytical solutions (Nye and Tinker, 1977) were used for calculating the volume of the soil allocated to each root and the concentration at root surface including a depletion zone that increased with time until it reached the non-transfer boundary (Smethurst, 1993). Recently, we have formulated free boundary models for root growth (Reginato et al., 1990, 1991, 1993a), i.e., analytical models through which it is possible to compute nutrient concentration at the root-soil interface and root growth rate (a priori an unknown function of time). This fact allows us to postulate a new model of nutrient uptake due to the transport and absorption of ions from a more dynamic point of view. This new model differs from our previous ones as the root growth rate is now plugged in as known function of time, the same as the Barber-Cushman model. Thus, the goal of the present work is to evaluate a moving boundary model for nutrient uptake which takes into account an increasing root competition for nutrient uptake from the soil by a growing root system combining ion transport, absorption kinetics and root growth simultaneously.

A one-dimensional model is considered, i.e., a single cylindrical root in a soil where it is assumed that the conditions of moisture, light and temperature are controlled (like in a growth chamber). With these assumptions, the following one-dimensional nutrient uptake model through a moving boundary problem to one phase (the soil) (Crank, 1984, Tarzia, 2000) in cylindrical coordinates is proposed:

$$D\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial r^2} + D(1 + \varepsilon_o)\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial C}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}, \qquad s_o < r < R(t), \qquad 0 < t < T$$
(1-a)

$$C(r,0) = \varphi(r), \qquad s_o \le r \le R_o \qquad (1-b)$$

$$-Db\frac{\partial}{\partial r}C(R(t),t) + v_oC(R(t),t) = 0 , \qquad 0 < t < T \qquad (1-c)$$

$$Db\frac{\partial}{\partial r}C(s_o,t) + v_oC(s_o,t) = \frac{k_a[C(s_o,t) - C_u]}{1 + \frac{k_a[C(s_o,t) - C_u]}{I}}, \qquad 0 < t < T$$
(1-d)

$$R(t) = R_o \sqrt{\frac{l_o}{l(t)}}, \qquad 0 < t < T \qquad (1-e)$$

where *r* is the radial distance from the root axis [m], *t* is the time [s]; *T* is the maximum time for which the system has solution [s];  $C_u$  is the concentration for which the net influx is null [mol m<sup>-3</sup>];  $v_o$  is the mean effective velocity of soil solution at root surface [m s<sup>-1</sup>]; *b* is the buffer power, *D* is the effective diffusion coefficient [m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>],  $k_a$  (=  $J_m/K_m$ ) is the absorption power of nutrient [m s<sup>-1</sup>];  $J_m$  is the maximum influx at infinite concentrations [mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>];  $K_m$  is the concentration at which influx is  $J_m/2$  [mol m<sup>-3</sup>]; R(t) is the variable half distance between root axes at time t [m],  $\varphi$  is the initial concentration defined in  $[s_o, R(t)]$  [mol m<sup>3</sup>],  $R_o$  is the initial half distance between root axes [m],  $s_o$  is the root radius [m], l(t) is the root length as a function of time [m], and  $l_0$  is the initial root length [m]. The parameter  $\varepsilon_0$  is given by  $\varepsilon_o = v_o s_o / Db$  [dimensionless]. In our model, all coefficients are assumed to be constant. Equation (1-a) represents the ion transport equation in the soil. Condition (1-b) corresponds to the initial concentration and Condition (1-c) is the boundary condition representing null flux on the moving boundary R(t) that is a priori a known function of time. Condition (1-d) represents the mass balance at the root surface where the ions arriving are incorporated through absorption kinetics. Equation (1-e) gives us the moving R(t) as a function of the instantaneous root length l(t), which is known a priori. This expression is obtained assuming a fixed volume of soil and relating R(t) with the instantaneous root length (which is a special function according to method used to estimate longitudinal root growth, i.e., linear, exponential, sigmoid, etc.), that is, assuming that the available soil volume at time t results from the difference between the available soil volume at initial time t = 0 and the grown root volume at time t, i.e., if  $R_o$  is the initial half distance between roots,  $l_o$  is the initial root length and l(t) is the root length at time t, then we have

that is

$$\pi l(t) \left[ R^{2}(t) - s_{o}^{2} \right] = \pi l_{o} \left[ R_{o}^{2} - s_{o}^{2} \right] - \pi s_{o}^{2} \left[ l(t) - l_{o} \right]$$

 $V_{soil}\Big|_{t=t} = V_{soil}\Big|_{t=0} - V_{root}\Big|_{t=t}$ 

Thus, after elementary manipulations the condition (1-e) is obtained. Equation (1-e) characterizes the moving boundary approximation and replaces a second equation in (1-d), which was postulated, in our previous free boundary models.

The model is solved by applying the integral balance method (Goodman, 1958, Reginato et al., 1993b). So, the partial differential equation (1-a) is integrated in variable r on the domain (s<sub>o</sub>, R(t)). Moreover, by using an analogous methodology as used in phase-change processes, the following expression for C(r, t) is proposed:

$$C(\mathbf{r},t) = \varphi(\mathbf{r}) \left[ 1 + \beta(t) \left( 1 - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{R(t)} \right)^2 \right]$$
(2)

with:

$$\varphi(r) = C_R e^{-\varepsilon(R_o - r)}, \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{v_o}{Db} = \frac{\varepsilon_o}{s_o}$$
(3)

where  $C_R$  is the initial ion concentration in soil solution at  $r = R_o \text{ [mol m}^3\text{]}$ . Expression (2) for the concentration verifies the initial (1-b) by taking  $\beta(0) = 0$  and boundary (1-c) conditions. So, after some elementary and long manipulations, and taking into account the particular case of an linear root growth, the following differential equation for  $\beta(t)$  was obtained (see Reginato et al., 2000):

$$\frac{d\beta(t)}{dt} = \frac{F_2(R(t),\beta(t))}{F_1(R(t))}, \qquad \beta(0) = 0 \qquad (4)$$

with:

$$R(t) = R_o \sqrt{\frac{l_o}{l_o + kt}}$$

The system (4) is solved through the Runge-Kutta method for ordinary differential equations, which was implemented in a FORTRAN program on a personal computer.

Total nutrient uptake can be obtained from the following formula, which can be considered as a modified version of the Cushman formula (Cushman, 1979; Claasen and Barber, 1976)

$$U = 2\pi s_o l_o \int_{t=0}^{t=t_{max}} J_c(t) dt + 2\pi s_o \int_{t=0}^{t=t_{max}} \left[ \int_{t=t}^{t=t_{max}} J_c(t) dt \right] \dot{l}(t) dt$$
(5)  
with  $J_c(t) = \frac{k_a [C(s_o, t) - C_u]}{1 + \frac{k_a [C(s_o, t) - C_u]}{J_m}}$ 

where  $J_c(t)$  is the influx, l(t) is the longitudinal root rate growth and U is computed from t = 0 to  $t = t_{max}$ .

#### **Results and Discussion**

The model was tested with experimental data extracted from the literature. Thus, uptake of Mg, K and P for loblolly pine seedlings during 180 days in a modified A horizon soil mesic Typic Hapludult (Kelly et al., 1992), was estimated. The comparison between the Barber-Cushman prediction using the NUTRIENT UPTAKE program (Oates and Barber, 1987) and the estimation of the present model, i.e., the moving boundary model, assuming a linear root growth with time is shown in Table 1.

Nutrient	Observed uptake (mmol pot <sup>-1</sup> )	Predicted uptake (mmol pot <sup>-1</sup> )			
		Barber-Cushman Model (1)		Moving Boundary Model (2)	
			Error (†)		Error (†)
Mg	1.617	0.625	61.3	0.680 (*)	57.1
K	6.663	6.285	5.6	6.653 (*)	0.15
Р	1.332	1.185	11	1.302 (*)	2.25

Table 1. Mg, K and P uptake by pine seedling: observed vs. predicted by Barber-Cushman and moving boundary models

(1) Source: Kelly et al. 1992

(2) Source: Present paper

(†) Relative error = [(Observed uptake - predicted uptake)/Observed uptake] x 100.

(\*) The value obtained by the moving boundary model represents a better prediction

Predicted uptakes improved in all cases, although for Mg uptake the same deviations showed by the Barber-Cushman model persisted, probably because high  $J_m$  values obtained from solution studies are responsible for underprediction of Mg uptake by crops (Rengel et al., 1990). Thus, both models can be improved taking into account  $J_m$  values obtained from soil studies. The nutrient uptake predicted by our model can be improved in its theoretical aspects. In this respect, the limitation of these models is that both consider the absorption of only one nutrient explicitly without taking into account the simultaneous absorption of ions and the possible coupling with other ions in the absorption. This last fact suggests the need for a model that simultaneously takes into account the interactions among nutrients, as for example, by using competitive kinetic absorption. Moreover, the model is tested with data of S uptake by wheat grown on Norwood silt loam (Typic Hapludalf) and Mhoon silty clay loam (Typic Fluvaquent) for a period of 24 and 17 days, respectively, under glasshouse conditions (Delgado and Amacher, 1997). The NUTRIENT UPTAKE program (Oates and Barber, 1987) and the present model were used for the input data. The predicted uptakes using a linear root growth are shown in Table 2.

	Observed Predicted uptake (mmol pot <sup>-1</sup> )					
Crop (Soil)	Uptake	ptake Barber-Cushman Model		Moving Boundary Model (2)		
	(mmol pot <sup>-1</sup> )					
			Error (†)		Error (†)	
Wheat (Norwood +)	0.02557	0.004678	81.7	0.00749 (*)	70.7	
	0.0287	0.02969	3.4	0.02684	6.5	
	0.0452	0.05925	31	0.0532 (*)	17.7	
	0.06923	0.09355	35.1	0.0746 (*)	7.75	
	0.08358	0.1294	54.8	0.099 (*)	18.4	
Wheat (Norwood)	0.01091	0.004678	57.1	0.00822 (*)	24.6	
	0.0234	0.03119	33.3	0.02966 (*)	26.7	
	0.0452	0.0701	55	0.06599 (*)	46	
	0.0561	0.106	88.9	0.08624 (*)	53.7	
	0.0977	0.145	48.4	0.1141 (*)	16.8	
Wheat (Mhoon +)	0.08576	0.09048	5.5	0.01247	85.3	
, , ,	0.1356	0.2089	54	0.01383	89.8	
	0.229	0.3071	34	0.01477	93.5	
	0.2426	0.4288	76.7	0.01577	93.5	
Wheat (Mhoon)	0.0555	0.02807	49.4	0.01913	65.5	
	0.08358	0.05519	33.9	0.03627	56.6	
	0.0764	0.08731	14.3	0.05137	32.7	
	0.08358	0.1185	41.8	0.06883 (*)	17.6	

Table 2. S uptake by wheat: observed vs. predicted by Barber-Cushman and moving boundary models Source: Delgado and Amacher, 1997 (We have extracted their predicted S uptake by using  $J_m$  obtained from soil studies)

(†) Relative error = [(Observed uptake - predicted uptake)/Observed uptake] x 100.

(\*) The value obtained by the moving boundary model represents a better prediction

The moving boundary model provides a better prediction in ten cases for a total number of eighteen predictions. We remark that for Norwood soils the comparison between the predicted uptakes by the Barber-Cushman model and the predicted uptakes by our model shown that the present model overpredicts 1.27 times the observed uptakes while the Barber-Cushman model overpredicted 1.72 times the observed values. This fact is shown in Figure 1. For the Mhoon soils, the predictions are poor. On the other hand, for long periods of time as accounting for K, P and Mg our model makes better predictions. We remark that the validity of the root competition assumption for the soils considered in the tests is justified because the depletion radius ( $r_D = s_o + 2\sqrt{Dt}$  following Baldwin and Nye (1974)) equals to the instantaneous half distance between root axes R(t) in few days (Aprox. three or four days for the soils considered). Thus, the moving boundary model could be a good alternative method for the prediction of nutrient uptake.

#### References

Baldwin, J.P. and P.H. Nye. 1974. A model to calculate the uptake by a developing root system or

root hair system of solutes with concentration variable diffusion coefficients. Plant Soil 40:703-706

Barber, S.A. 1995. Soil Nutrient Bioavailability: A Mechanistic Approach, 2nd Ed. J. Wiley, New York.

Claassen, N., and S.A. Barber. 1974. A Method for Characterizing the Relation between Nutrient Concentration and Flux into Roots of Intact Plants. Plant Physiol. 54:564-568

Claasen, N., and S.A. Barber. 1976. Simulation model for nutrient uptake from soil by a growing plant root system. Agron. J. 68:961-964

Crank, J. 1984. Free and Moving Boundary Problems. Clarendon Press, Oxford

Cushman, J.H. 1979. An analytical solution to solute transport near root surfaces for low initial concentrations: I. Equation development. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:1087-1090

Delgado, J.A., and M.C. Amacher. 1997. Modeling the uptake of sulfur by crops on three alluvial soils of Louisiana: wheat, Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 28:225-236

Goodman, T.R. 1958. The heat-balance integral and its applications to problems involving a change of phase, Transf. of the ASME 80:335-342.

Hoffland, E., H.S. Bloemhof, G.R. Findenegg, P.A. Leffelaar, and J. Nelemans. 1990. Simulation of nutrient uptake by a growing root systems considering increasing root density and inter-root competition. *In* Plant Nutrition-Physiology and Applications. Ed. M.L. van Beusichem, 9-15. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Kelly, J.M., S.A. Barber, and G.S. Edwards. 1992. Modeling magnesium, phosphorus and potassium uptake by loblolly pine seedling using a Barber-Cushman approach. Plant and Soil 139:209-218

Nye, P.H., and P.B. Tinker. 1977. Solute movement in the soil-root system. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, England

Oates, K., and S.A. Barber. 1987. Nutrient uptake: A microcomputer program to predict nutrient absorption from soil by roots. J. Agron. Educ. 16:65-68

Reginato, J.C., D.A. Tarzia, and A. Cantero. 1990. On the free boundary problem for the Michaelis-Menten absorption model for root growth. Soil Sci. 150:722-729

Reginato, J.C., D.A. Tarzia, and A. Cantero. 1991. On the free boundary problem for the Michaelis-Menten absorption model for root growth. High concentrations. Soil Sci. 152:63-71

Reginato, J.C., D.A. Tarzia, and M.A. Dzioba. 1993a. Analytical study of the effect of some soil and plant parameters on root growth due to absorption of one mobile ion: A free boundary model. Plant and Soil, 157:185-196

Reginato, J.C., and D.A. Tarzia. 1993b. The balance integral method applied to root growth of crops. Int. J. of Eng. Sci. 31:61-70

Reginato, J.C., M. C. Palumbo, Ch. I. Bernardo, I. S. Moreno y D. A. Tarzia. 2000. Modeling Nutrient Uptake Using A Moving Boundary Approach. Comparison with the Barber-Cushman Model. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 64(4):1363-1367

Rengel, Z. and D. L. Robinson. 1990. Modeling magnesium uptake from an acid soil. II. Barber-Cushman model. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 54:791-795

Smethurst, P.; and N.B. Comerford. 1993. Simulating nutrient uptake by single or competing and contrasting root systems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 57:1361-1367

Tarzia, D.A. 2000. A bibliography on moving-free boundary problems for the heat-diffusion equation. The Stefan and related problems. MAT-Serie A, Rosario, #2 (with 5869 titles on the subject). See www.austral.edu.ar/MAT-SerieA/2(2000)/