

# ON SOME THERMIC FLUX OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS IN A DOMAIN WITH FOURIER BOUNDARY CONDITION AND STATE RESTRICTIONS

Roberto L.V. GONZALEZ – Domingo A. TARZIA

PROMAR (CONICET-UNR),  
Instituto de Matemática "Beppo Levi",  
Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Ing. y Agr.,  
Avda Pellegrini 250, (2000) Rosario, Argentina.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

We consider a regular bounded domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n=1, 2, 3$  for the applications) with a sufficiently regular boundary  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  ( $|\Gamma_1| = \text{meas}(\Gamma_1) > 0$  and  $|\Gamma_2| = \text{meas}(\Gamma_2) > 0$ ) and it is assumed that the phase change temperature is  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . We denote with  $|\Gamma|$  the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $\Gamma$ . On portion  $\Gamma_1$  of the boundary we have a Fourier boundary condition (a Newton law with transfer coefficient  $\alpha > 0$  with an exterior temperature  $b > 0$ ), and on portion  $\Gamma_2$  of the remaining boundary a heat flux  $q > 0$  is imposed. We consider in  $\Omega$  a steady-state heat conduction problem and we are interested in studying under which condition on data we have a steady-state phase change problem, i.e. the temperature is of non-constant sign in  $\Omega$ .

Following [Ta1] we study the temperature  $\Theta = \Theta(x)$ , defined for  $x \in \Omega$ . If we define the function  $u$  in  $\Omega$  as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad u = k_2 \theta^+ - k_1 \theta^- \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where  $\theta^+$  and  $\theta^-$  represent the positive and the negative parts of the function  $\Theta$  respectively,  $k_i = \text{const.} > 0$  is the thermal conductivity of the phase  $i$  ( $i=1$ : solid phase,  $i=2$ : liquid phase), then the variables  $u = u(x)$ ,  $q = q(x)$  on  $\Gamma_2$ ,  $\alpha = \text{const.} > 0$ ,  $B = B(x) = k_2 b(x) > 0$  on  $\Gamma_1$  are related in the following way

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad -\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_2} = q, \quad -\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_1} = \alpha(u - B),$$

whose variational formulation is given by

$$(1.3) \quad a_{\alpha}(u, v) = L_{\alpha q} B(v), \quad \forall v \in V, \quad u \in V,$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} V &= H^1(\Omega), \quad a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx, \quad L_q(v) = - \int_{\Gamma_2} q v \, d\gamma, \\ a_{\alpha}(u, v) &= a(u, v) + \alpha \int_{\Gamma_1} u v \, d\gamma, \quad L_{\alpha q} B(v) = L_q(v) + \alpha \int_{\Gamma_1} B v \, d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

The bilinear form  $a_{\alpha}$  is coercive on  $V$  for each  $\alpha > 0$  because there exists  $M_{\alpha} > 0$  such that [KiSt, Ta1]

$$(1.5) \quad a_{\alpha}(v, v) \geq M_{\alpha} \|v\|^2, \quad \forall v \in V,$$

where  $\| \cdot \|$  represents the classic norm of the Sobolev space  $V$ .

In  $[T_a T_b]$ , a sufficient condition for the existence of a phase change in  $\Omega$  was obtained (that is, there exist in  $\Omega$  the liquid and solid phases, i.e. function  $u$  (or equivalently  $\Theta$ ) is a solution of non-constant sign of (1.2) or (1.3)) and it is given in the following way: There exists a steady-state two-phase Stefan problem in  $\Omega$  (i.e.  $u$  is of non-constant sign in  $\Omega$ ) for

$$(1.6) \quad q_m(\alpha, B) < q < q_M(\alpha, B), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

for each  $B = \text{const.} > 0$ , where the function  $q_m$  and  $q_M$  are given by

$$(1.7) \quad q_m(\alpha, B) = \frac{B |\Gamma_2|}{\Lambda(\alpha)}, \quad q_M(\alpha, B) = \frac{B |\Gamma_1| \alpha}{|\Gamma_2|},$$

and  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\alpha)$  has an adequate expression. Moreover,  $q_m = q_m(\alpha, B)$  is an increasing monotone function of  $\alpha > 0$ , which satisfies

$$(1.8) \quad q_m(0^+, B) = q_M(0^+, B) = 0, \quad q_m(+\infty, B) = q_o(B) = \frac{B |\Gamma_2|}{C},$$

where  $C = C(\Omega, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) > 0$  is an adequate positive constant [Ta3, TaTa].

In §II., a necessary and sufficient condition to obtain a steady-state two-phase Stefan problem in  $\Omega$  is given. Moreover; we obtain that for each  $\alpha = \text{const.} > 0$  and  $B = \text{const.} > 0$ , there exists one and only one interval  $(q_1(\alpha, B), q_2(\alpha, B))$  for  $q$  in which the solution  $u$  of (1.2) or (1.3) is of non-constant sign in  $\Omega$ . We also characterize the expression of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  as a function of  $\alpha > 0$  and  $B > 0$ .

In §III., for the general case  $q = q(x)$  on  $\Gamma_2$  and  $B = B(x) > 0$  on  $\Gamma_1$ , we can state the following optimization problem:

$$\sup_q \int_{\Gamma_2} q \, d\gamma \quad \text{such that } u \geq 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega},$$

In §IV we give three examples in which the solution of the different problems presented is explicitly known [Ta2].

This paper was motivated by [GoTa, TaTa]. For a general introduction for studying a mixed boundary value problem for the Laplace equation with the finality of deciding when it exhibits a solution of non-constant sign, see [Ta3].

**Remark 1.** The boundary portions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  may be separated by a boundary portion  $\Gamma_3$  (disjoint from  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ ) that will behave like a heat-isolating wall, i.e. with a null heat flux over it. This new variant does not introduce any essential modification in the analysis of the problems to be formulated.

## II. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR A SOLUTION OF (1.3) OF NON-CONSTANT SIGN

We generalize Theorem 18 of [TaTa] for problem (1.2.) or (1.3) with  $\alpha, q, B = \text{const.} > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** Problem (1.2) or (1.3) represents a steady-state two-phase Stefan problem (or equivalently, the solution of (1.2) or (1.3) is of non-constant sign) if and only if the heat flux  $q$  verifies the following inequalities

$$(2.1) \quad q_1(\alpha, B) < q < q_2(\alpha, B) \quad , \quad \alpha > 0 \quad , \quad B > 0 \quad ,$$

where  $q_1 = q_1(\alpha, B)$  and  $q_2 = q_2(\alpha, B)$ , are given by (2.7) and (2.8) respectively.

• **Proof.** Function  $u = u_{\alpha q B}$ , solution of (1.2) or (1.3), can be expressed by

$$(2.2) \quad u_{\alpha q B} = B - q U_{\alpha} \text{ in } \Omega \quad ,$$

where  $U_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha}(x)$  is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta U_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \quad , \quad -\frac{\partial U_{\alpha}}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_1} = \alpha U_{\alpha} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial U_{\alpha}}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_2} = 1 \quad ,$$

whose variational formulation is given by [KiSt]

$$(2.4) \quad a_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha}, v) = \int_{\Gamma_2} v \, d\gamma \quad , \quad \forall v \in V \quad , \quad U_{\alpha} \in V \quad .$$

If we choose  $v = U_\alpha^-$  in (2.4), we obtain

$$M_\alpha \|U_\alpha^-\|^2 \leq a_\alpha(U_\alpha^-, U_\alpha^-) = - \int_{\Gamma_2} U_\alpha^- d\gamma \leq 0 ,$$

that is  $U_\alpha^- = 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , i.e.  $U_\alpha \geq 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Moreover, if we choose  $v = (U_\alpha - \inf_{\Gamma_1} U_\alpha)^- \in V$  in (2.4), we also obtain that  $U_\alpha \geq \inf_{\Gamma_1} U_\alpha$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Therefore, we can deduce that [KiSt,PrWe]:

$$(2.5) \quad U_\alpha > 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega} .$$

By using the following results for the function  $u_{\alpha q B}$  [TaTa]

$$(2.6) \quad \min_{\Gamma_2} u_{\alpha q B} = \min_{\bar{\Omega}} u_{\alpha q B} \leq u_{\alpha q B} \leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} u_{\alpha q B} = \max_{\Gamma_1} u_{\alpha q B} \text{ in } \bar{\Omega} ,$$

we can obtain the thesis by virtue of the following equivalences (a) and (b), given by

$$(a) \quad u_{\alpha q B} \geq 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega} \Leftrightarrow u_{\alpha q B} \geq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \Leftrightarrow q \leq q_1(\alpha, B) ,$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad q_1(\alpha, B) = \min_{\Gamma_2} \left( \frac{B}{U_\alpha} \right) = \frac{B}{\max_{\Gamma_2} (U_\alpha)} ,$$

and

$$(b) \quad u_{\alpha q B} \leq 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega} \Leftrightarrow u_{\alpha q B} \leq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \Leftrightarrow q \geq q_2(\alpha, B) ,$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad q_2(\alpha, B) = \max_{\Gamma_1} \left( \frac{B}{U_\alpha} \right) = \frac{B}{\min_{\Gamma_1} (U_\alpha)} .$$

**Remark 2.** We can generalize the above results for a given  $B=B(x)>0$  on  $\Gamma_1$  by considering

$$(2.9) \quad q_1(\alpha, B) = \min_{\Gamma_2} \left( \frac{u_{\alpha B}}{U_\alpha} \right) , \quad q_2(\alpha, B) = \max_{\Gamma_1} \left( \frac{u_{\alpha B}}{U_\alpha} \right) ,$$

where function  $u_{\alpha B} = u_{\alpha B}(x)$  is defined by

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta u_{\alpha B} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega , \quad - \frac{\partial u_{\alpha B}}{\partial n} \Big|_{\Gamma_1} = \alpha (u_{\alpha B} - B) , \quad \frac{\partial u_{\alpha B}}{\partial n} \Big|_{\Gamma_2} = 0 ,$$

whose variational formulation is given by [KiSt]

$$(2.11) \quad a_\alpha(u_{\alpha B}, v) = \alpha \int_{\Gamma_1} Bv d\gamma , \quad \forall v \in V , \quad u_{\alpha B} \in V ,$$

for each  $\alpha > 0$ . Moreover, we have

$$(2.2 \text{ bis}) \quad u_{\alpha q B} = u_{\alpha B} - q U_\alpha .$$

Now, we can obtain a relationship among functions  $q_m$  and  $q_M$ , defined by (1.7) [TaTa], and functions  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , defined by (2.7) and (2.8) respectively.

**Theorem 2.** (i) Function  $U_\alpha$  verifies the following properties ( $\alpha > 0$ ):

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\Gamma_1} U_\alpha \, d\gamma = \frac{|\Gamma_2|}{\alpha}, \quad (2.13) \quad \int_{\Gamma_2} U_\alpha \, d\gamma = \Lambda(\alpha),$$

$$(2.14) \quad a(U_\alpha, U_\alpha) = \frac{d[\alpha \Lambda(\alpha)]}{d\alpha},$$

where function  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\alpha) > 0$  is defined in [TaTa].

(ii) We have the following inequalities:

$$(2.15) \quad q_1(\alpha, B) \leq q_m(\alpha, B) < q_M(\alpha, B) \leq q_2(\alpha, B), \quad \forall \alpha, B > 0.$$

Moreover, we have that (for all  $B > 0$ ):

$$(2.16) \quad q_1(\alpha, B) = q_m(\alpha, B) \Leftrightarrow U_\alpha|_{\Gamma_2} = \text{Const.} \left( = \frac{\Lambda(\alpha)}{|\Gamma_2|} \right),$$

$$(2.17) \quad q_2(\alpha, B) = q_M(\alpha, B) \Leftrightarrow U_\alpha|_{\Gamma_1} = \text{Const.} \left( = \frac{|\Gamma_2|}{\alpha|\Gamma_1|} \right).$$

(iii) The particular case, defined in §V of [TaTa] is characterized by

$$(2.18) \quad a(U_\alpha, U_\alpha) = \text{Const.} = C > 0, \quad \forall \alpha > 0,$$

where  $C > 0$  is a positive constant defined in [Ta3].

**Proof.** (i) By choosing  $v=1 \in V$  in (2.4) we obtain (2.12). By using (2.2) and formula (IV-26) of [TaTa] we deduce for  $\Lambda(\alpha)$  the expression (2.13). Moreover, we have (2.14) by using formula (IV.40) of [TaTa] and the fact that

$$(2.19) \quad a(u_{\alpha q B}, u_{\alpha q B}) = q^2 a(U_\alpha, U_\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha, q, B > 0.$$

Therefore we also obtain (iii).

(ii) By using the above expression (2.12) and (2.13) and the definitions of  $q_m$ ,  $q_M$ ,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  we deduce after elementary manipulations the following inequalities

$$(2.20) \quad q_1(\alpha, B) \leq q_m(\alpha, B) \text{ and } q_M(\alpha, B) \leq q_2(\alpha, B).$$

The remaining inequality  $q_m < q_M$  for  $\alpha, B > 0$  was proved in [TaTa].

**Remark 3.** We remark here that function  $\Lambda(\alpha)$  is explicitly known for the particular case, defined in [TaTa]. In this case, we have that

$$(2.21) \quad \Lambda(\alpha) = C + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{|\Gamma_2|^2}{|\Gamma_1|}$$

Moreover, constant  $C$  can be also obtained by the following expression

$$(2.22) \quad C = a(U_\alpha, U_\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha > 0.$$

### III. SOME OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM WITH STATE RESTRICTIONS

We consider the general case with  $q \in L^2(\Gamma_2)$  and  $b$  or  $B \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_1)$  and  $\alpha = \text{const.} > 0$ . Let  $T : Q \rightarrow S$  be the application defined by

$$T(q) = u_{\alpha q B}$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad S = \{ v \in V / \Delta v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, -\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_1} = \alpha(v - B) \},$$

$$S_0 = \{ v \in V / \Delta v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, -\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_1} = \alpha v \}, \quad Q = L^2(\Gamma_2),$$

and  $u_{\alpha q B}$  is the unique solution of problem (1.2) or (1.3). Let be the set

$$(3.2) \quad S^+ = \{ v \in S / v \geq 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega} \},$$

and we define

$$(3.3) \quad Q^+ = T^{-1}(S^+) = \{ q \in Q / T(q) \in S^+ \} = \{ q \in Q / u_{\alpha q B} \geq 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega} \},$$

then the whole material  $\Omega$  is in the liquid phase if the heat flux  $q \in Q^+$ .

**Lemma 3.** (i) Application  $T$  can be decomposed in the form  $T = T_1 + T_2$ , where  $T_2: Q \rightarrow S_0$  is a linear and continuous application and  $T_1: Q \rightarrow S$  is a constant application defined by  $T_1(q) = u_{\alpha B}$ , with  $u_{\alpha B}$  the unique solution of (2.11).

(ii)  $Q^+$  is a convex set.

**Proof** (i) Let  $u_2 = u_2(q) \in S_0$  be the unique solution of the variational equality [KiSt]

$$(3.4) \quad a_\alpha(u_2, v) = - \int_{\Gamma_2} qv \, d\gamma, \quad \forall v \in V, \quad u_2 \in V.$$

From the uniqueness of (1.3) we have that  $u_{\alpha q B} = u_{\alpha B} + u_2(q)$ . Therefore we can define  $T_2(q) = u_2(q)$  and then part (i) is achieved.

(ii) It follows from the fact that  $T$  is an affine application (part (i)) and  $S^+$  is a convex set.

Let  $F: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $J: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the functionals, defined by

$$(3.5) \quad F(q) = \int_{\Gamma_2} q \, d\gamma, \quad J(v) = - \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \, d\gamma,$$

which are linear and therefore convex functionals.

We consider the following optimization problem with state restrictions, defined by :

$$(P) : \quad \sup_{q \in Q^+} F(q)$$

that consist in finding the maximum total heat flow over  $\Gamma_2$  so that the whole material is in the liquid phase.

The following optimization problem in  $S^+$  is considered by

$$(NP) : \quad \sup_{v \in S^+} J(v)$$

which turns to be a new formulation of  $(P)$ .

We will assume that the domain  $\Omega$ , the boundary portions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , and the function  $B$  on  $\Gamma_1$  satisfy the necessary conditions to have the following regularity properties (The three examples we present to the end verify these properties) :

(i)  $u_{\alpha q} \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  (It is sufficient that  $u_{\alpha q} \in H^2(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 3$ ),

(ii) The element  $u^*$ , defined by (3.7), satisfies that  $\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_2} \in Q$  (It is sufficient that  $u^* \in H^2(\Omega)$ ).

(iii) The element  $v_0$ , defined by (3.16), satisfies that  $\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_2} \in Q$  and  $\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_2} > 0$  a.e on  $\Gamma_2$  (It is sufficient that  $v_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ ).

We have the following theorem of existence and uniqueness of solution for problems  $(P)$  and  $(NP)$  which follows the method developed in [GoTa].

**THEOREM 4** (i) There exists an unique solution  $q^* = q^*_{\alpha\beta} \in Q^+$  of the optimization problem  $(P)$  which is given by

$$(3.6) \quad q^* = - \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_2}$$

where  $u^*$  is the solution of the problem

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta u^* = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad - \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_1} = \alpha(u^* - B), \quad u^*|_{\Gamma_2} = 0,$$

whose variational formulation is given by

$$(3.8) \quad a_\alpha(u^*, v) = \alpha \int_{\Gamma_1} Bv \, d\gamma, \quad \forall v \in V_2, \quad u^* \in V_2,$$

with

$$(3.9) \quad V_2 = \{v \in V / v|_{\Gamma_2} = 0\}.$$

(ii) The optimization problem (NP) has an unique solution which is given by  $u^*$ .

Proof. (a) Element  $u^*$ , defined by (3.7) or (3.8) verifies that  $u^* > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $u^* \geq 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , because if we choose  $v = w \in V_2$  in (3.8) with  $w = (u^*)^-$ , we obtain

$$M_\alpha \|w\|^2 \leq a_\alpha(w, w) = -\alpha \int_{\Gamma_1} B w \, d\gamma \leq 0,$$

that is  $w = 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

Let  $u_q (= u_{\alpha q B}) \in S$  be the element that corresponds to  $q \in Q^+$ , that is  $T(q) = u_{\alpha q B}$ . Then, function  $z = u_q - u^* \in S_0$  satisfies the problem

$$(3.10) \quad \Delta z = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad -\frac{\partial z}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_1} = \alpha z, \quad z|_{\Gamma_2} = u_q|_{\Gamma_2} \geq 0,$$

whose variational formulation is given by

$$(3.11) \quad a_\alpha(z, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V_2, \quad z \in u_q + V_2,$$

and verifies that  $z \geq 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$  by choosing  $v = z^- \in V_2$  in (3.11).

Therefore, we deduce that

$$(3.12) \quad F(q^*) - F(q) = \int_{\Gamma_2} (q^* - q) \, d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial n} \, d\gamma = -\int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial z}{\partial n} \, d\gamma = \alpha \int_{\Gamma_1} z \, d\gamma \geq 0,$$

then  $q^*$  realizes the maximum of functional  $F$ .

(b) Let  $\Psi = C^0(\Gamma_2)$ . Let  $D : S \rightarrow \Psi$  be the application defined by

$$(3.13) \quad D(v) = -v|_{\Gamma_2}$$

and the cone  $P = \{p \in \Psi / p \geq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2\}$  which has a non empty interior.

Taking into account (2.6) [TaTa], problem (NP) may be reformulated as follows

$$(NPbis) \quad \sup_{v \in S, D(v) \leq 0} J(v).$$

(c) Let  $u$  be a solution of (NPbis). From [Be, EkTe] we deduce that there exists a Lagrange multiplier  $\mu \in \Psi^*$  (dual of  $\Psi$ ),  $\mu \geq 0$  (i.e.  $\langle \mu, p \rangle \geq 0, \forall p \in P$ ) so that the following conditions are satisfied



$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & -J(v) + \langle \mu, D(v) \rangle \geq -J(u), \quad \forall v \in S, \\ (ii) \quad & \langle \mu, D(u) \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_2} \mu D(u) d\gamma = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.14 i,ii) and after elementary manipulations we obtain that

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\Gamma_2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} - \mu w \right) d\gamma = 0, \quad \forall w \in S_0.$$

Let  $v_0 \in S_0$  be the element which satisfies the problem

$$(3.16) \quad \Delta v_0 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad -\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n} \Big|_{\Gamma_1} = \alpha v_0, \quad v_0 \Big|_{\Gamma_2} = 1,$$

whose variational formulation is given by

$$(3.17) \quad a_\alpha(v_0, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V_2, \quad v_0 \in 1 + V_2.$$

Taking into account the equality

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial n} v_2 d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial n} v_1 d\gamma, \quad \text{with } \Delta v_1 = \Delta v_2 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} d\gamma &= \int_{\Gamma_2} v_0 \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma} v_0 \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} d\gamma - \int_{\Gamma_1} v_0 \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma_2} w \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n} d\gamma + \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_1} w \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n} d\gamma - \int_{\Gamma_1} v_0 \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma_2} w \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n} d\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, from (3.15), we deduce that the Lagrange multiplier  $\mu$  is given by

$$(3.19) \quad \mu = \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n} \Big|_{\Gamma_2} \in Q.$$

Element  $v_0 \in S_0$  verifies  $0 \leq v_0 \leq 1$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,  $0 < v_0 < 1$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\mu > 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$ . From (3.14ii) we deduce that  $u \Big|_{\Gamma_2} = 0$ , that is  $u = u^*$ .

d) Let  $u^* \in S$ ,  $v_0 \in S_0$  and  $\mu \in Q$  be defined by (3.8), (3.17) and (3.19) respectively. Let  $v$  be any element that verifies  $v \in S$ , then we have

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} -J(v) + \langle \mu, D(v) \rangle + J(u^*) &= \int_{\Gamma_2} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial n} - \mu v \right) d\gamma = \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_2} \left[ \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n} (v - u^*) - \mu v \right] d\gamma + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n} (v - u^*) d\gamma - \int_{\Gamma_1} v_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (v - u^*) d\gamma = 0 \end{aligned}$$

then, by virtue of the theory of Lagrange multipliers [Be, EkTe], the element  $u^*$  realizes the optimum of

the problem ( NPbis ) because it satisfies the sufficient conditions of optimality.

Taking into account (a), (b), (c) and (d) the thesis is achieved.

#### IV. EXAMPLES

We shall give three examples in which the solution of the different problems presented is explicite known for  $\alpha, q, B = \text{const.} > 0$  (We note  $B = k_2 b > 0$ )

1) Example 1. The following data are considered:

$$n = 2, \quad \Omega = (0, x_0) \times (0, y_0), \quad x_0 > 0, \quad y_0 > 0,$$

$$\Gamma_1 = \{0\} \times [0, y_0], \quad \Gamma_2 = \{x_0\} \times [0, y_0],$$

$$\Gamma_3 = (0, x_0) \times \{0\} \cup (0, x_0) \times \{y_0\}$$

obtaining

$$u_{\alpha q B}(x, y) = B - \frac{q}{\alpha} - q x, \quad U_{\alpha}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\alpha} + x, \quad u_{\alpha B}(x, y) = B,$$

$$u^* = u_{\alpha B}^*(x, y) = \frac{B \alpha}{1 + \alpha x_0} (x_0 - x), \quad v_0 = v_{0\alpha}(x, y) = \frac{1 + \alpha x}{1 + \alpha x_0},$$

$$q^* = q_{\alpha B}^*(x, y) = \frac{B \alpha}{1 + \alpha x_0} \quad ((x, y) \in \Gamma_2), \quad \mu = \mu_{\alpha}(x, y) = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha x_0} \quad ((x, y) \in \Gamma_2),$$

$$F(q^*) = J(u^*) = \frac{B \alpha y_0}{1 + \alpha x_0}, \quad \Lambda(\alpha) = y_0 (x_0 + \frac{1}{\alpha}), \quad C = x_0 y_0,$$

$$q_1(\alpha, B) = q_m(\alpha, B) = \frac{B \alpha}{1 + \alpha x_0}, \quad q_2(\alpha, B) = q_M(\alpha, B) = B \alpha.$$

2) Example 2. The following data are considered:

$$n = 2, \quad 0 < r_1 < r_2, \quad \Gamma_3 = \emptyset,$$

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) / r_1 < r = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} < r_2\},$$

$$\Gamma_1 = \{(x, y) / r = r_1\}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{(x, y) / r = r_2\},$$

obtaining

$$u_{\alpha q B}(r) = B - \frac{q r_2}{\alpha r_1} - q r_2 \log\left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right), \quad U_{\alpha}(r) = r_2 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha r_1} + \log\left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right) \right),$$

$$u_{\alpha B}(r) = B, \quad u^* = u_{\alpha B}^*(r) = \frac{B \alpha r_1}{1 + \alpha r_1 \log\left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)} \log\left(\frac{r_2}{r}\right),$$

$$v_0 = v_{0\alpha}(r) = \frac{1 + \alpha r_1 \log(\frac{r}{r_1})}{1 + \alpha r_1 \log(\frac{r_2}{r_1})}, \quad q^* = q_{\alpha B}^*(x, y) = \frac{B \alpha r_1}{r_2 [1 + \alpha r_1 \log(\frac{r_2}{r_1})]} \quad ((x, y) \in \Gamma_2),$$

$$\mu = \mu_{\alpha}(x, y) = \frac{\alpha r_1}{r_2 (1 + \alpha r_1 \log(\frac{r_2}{r_1}))} \quad ((x, y) \in \Gamma_2), \quad F(q^*) = J(u^*) = \frac{2 \pi B \alpha r_1}{1 + \alpha r_1 \log(\frac{r_2}{r_1})},$$

$$\Lambda(\alpha) = 2 \pi r_2^2 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha r_1} + \log(\frac{r_2}{r_1}) \right), \quad C = 2 \pi r_2^2 \log(\frac{r_2}{r_1}),$$

$$q_1(\alpha, B) = q_m(\alpha, B) = \frac{B}{r_2 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha r_1} + \log(\frac{r_2}{r_1}) \right)}, \quad q_2(\alpha, B) = q_M(\alpha, B) = \frac{B \alpha r_1}{r_2}.$$

3) **Example 3.** We take into account the same information of Example 2 but now for the case  $n=3$ ; by doing this, we reach the following results ( $r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$ ):

$$u_{\alpha B}(r) = B - \frac{q r_2^2}{\alpha r_1^2} - q r_2^2 \left( \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right), \quad U_{\alpha}(r) = r_2^2 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right),$$

$$u_{\alpha B}(r) = B, \quad u^* = u_{\alpha B}^*(r) = \frac{B}{\frac{1}{\alpha r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}} \left( \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right),$$

$$v_0 = v_{0\alpha}(r) = \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}}{\frac{1}{\alpha r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}}, \quad C = 4 \pi \frac{r_2^3 (r_2 - r_1)}{r_1},$$

$$q^* = q_{\alpha B}^*(r) = \frac{B}{r_2^2 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right)} \quad ((x, y, z) \in \Gamma_2),$$

$$\mu = \mu_{\alpha}(r) = \frac{1}{r_2^2 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right)} \quad ((x, y, z) \in \Gamma_2),$$

$$F(q^*) = J(u^*) = \frac{4 \pi B}{\frac{1}{\alpha r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}}, \quad \Lambda(\alpha) = 4 \pi r_2^4 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right),$$

$$q_1(\alpha, B) = q_m(\alpha, B) = \frac{B}{r_2^2 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right)}, \quad q_2(\alpha, B) = q_M(\alpha, B) = \frac{B \alpha r_1^2}{r_2^2}.$$

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** This paper has been supported by the research and development projects "Análisis Numérico de Ecuaciones e Inecuaciones Variacionales" and "Problemas de Frontera Libre de la Física-Matemática" from CONICET-UNR (Argentina).

### REFERENCES

[Be] A. BENSOUSSAN , "Teoría moderna de control óptimo ", CUADERNOS del Instituto de Matemática "Beppo Levi", No. 7, Rosario (1974).

[EkTe] I. EKELAND — R. TEMAM, "Analyse convexe et problèmes variationnelles", Dunod-Gauthier Villars, Paris (1973).

[GoTa] R.L.V. GONZALEZ — D.A. TARZIA, "Optimization of heat flux in domain with temperature constraints", J. Optimization Theory Appl. , 65(1990), 245—256.

[KiSt] D. KINDERLEHRER — G. STAMPACCHIA, "An introduction to variational inequalities and their applications", Academic Press, New York (1980).

[PrWe] M. H. PROTTER — H. F. WEINBERGER, "Maximum principles in differential equations", Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. (1967).

[TaTa] E.D. TABACMAN — D.A. TARZIA, "Sufficient and/or necessary conditions for the heat transfer coefficient on  $\Gamma_1$  and the heat flux on  $\Gamma_2$  to obtain a steady-state two-phase Stefan problem", J. Differential Equations, 77(1989), 16—37.

[Ta1] D. A. TARZIA, "Sur le problème de Stefan à deux phases", Thèse de 3ème Cycle, Univ. Paris VI, 8 Mars 1979. See also "Una familia de problemas que converge hacia el caso estacionario del problema de Stefan a dos fases", Math. Notae, 27(1979/80), 157—165 and "Introducción a las inecuaciones variacionales elípticas y sus aplicaciones a problemas de frontera libre", CLAMI, N° 5, CONICET, Buenos Aires (1981).

[Ta2] D. A. TARZIA, "Sobre el caso estacionario del problema de Stefan a dos fases", Math. Notae, 28(1980/81), 73—89.

[Ta3] D. A. TARZIA, "An inequality for the constant heat flux to obtain a steady-state two-phase Stefan problem", Engineering Analysis, 5(1988), 177—181. See also "The two-phase Stefan problem and some related conduction problems", Reuniões em Matemática Aplicada e Computação Científica, Vol. 5, SBMAC— Soc. Brasileira Mat. Apl. Comput., Gramado (1987).